



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Jose Lara
DOCKET NO.: 17-33808.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 24-03-129-078-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Jose Lara, the appellant, by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **no change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$1,900
IMPR.: \$10,666
TOTAL: \$12,566

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of frame construction with 1,056 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 64 years old. Features of the home include a crawl-space foundation and a 1.5-car garage. The property has a 4,000 square foot site and is located in Hometown, Worth Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-10 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four comparable sales that were located within the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables had lot sizes of 4,000 or 4,160 square feet of land area that were improved with class 2-10 dwellings of frame construction. The homes ranged in size from 940 to 1,346 square feet of living area and were 64 years old. Three comparables had crawl-space foundations and one had a slab foundation. The comparables had

other features with varying degrees of similarity to the subject. The comparables sold from August 2015 to November 2016 for prices ranging from \$67,000 to \$126,000 or from \$71.28 to \$93.61 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's total assessment be reduced to \$8,895.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$12,566. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$125,660 or \$119.00 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable properties that were located within the same neighborhood code and on the same block as the subject property. The comparables had lot sizes of 4,000 or 4,160 square feet of land area that were improved with one-story dwellings of frame construction. The homes had either 926 or 1,016 square feet of living area and were 64 years old. The comparables had crawl-space foundations and had other features with varying degrees of similarity to the subject. Comparable #1 sold in July 2017 for \$125,000 or \$134.99 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of four comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparable #1 due to its dissimilar slab foundation, when compared to the subject's crawl-space foundation. The Board finds the parties' remaining comparable sales were most similar to the subject in location, style, age, size and most features. However, two of the appellant's remaining comparables sold in 2015, which are dated and less likely to be indicative of fair market value as of the January 1, 2017 assessment date at issue. Nevertheless, the parties' remaining comparables sold from August 2015 to July 2017 for prices ranging from \$67,000 to \$126,000 or from \$71.28 to \$134.99 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$125,660 or \$119.00 per square foot of living area, including land, which is within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. The Board gave less weight to the board of review's comparables #2, #3 and #4 due to their lack of sales data, which would be necessary to address the appellant's overvaluation argument. Based on this evidence the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: March 16, 2021



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Jose Lara, by attorney:
George N. Reveliotis
Reveliotis Law, P.C.
1030 Higgins Road
Suite 101
Park Ridge, IL 60068

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602