

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Dino Sakkos DOCKET NO.: 17-33798.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 03-06-408-003-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Dino Sakkos, the appellant, by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$4,447 **IMPR.:** \$31,309 **TOTAL:** \$35,756

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 2,068 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 32 years old. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 2-car garage. The property has an 8,087 square foot site and is located in Buffalo Grove, Wheeling Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant's appeal is based on both overvaluation and assessment inequity. The subject's land assessment was not challenged.

In support of the overvaluation argument, the appellant submitted information on four comparable sales located in the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The

comparables have sites that range in size from 7,223 to 8,973 square feet of land area and are improved with class 2-78 dwellings of frame exterior construction that range in size from 2,275 to 2,555 square feet of living area. The homes are 31 or 33 years old. Each comparable has an unfinished basement, central air conditioning and a 2-car garage. Three of the comparables have one or two fireplaces. The comparables sold from January 2016 to October 2017 for prices ranging from \$345,000 to \$364,000 or from \$136.99 to \$160.00 per square foot of living area, land included.

As an alternate basis of the appeal, the appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement assessment. In support of the inequity argument, the appellant submitted information on eight equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with two-story, class 2-78 dwellings of frame or frame and masonry exterior construction that range in size from 2,032 to 2,275 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 31 to 33 years old. Six comparables have an unfinished basement and two comparables have concrete slab foundations. Each comparable has a 2-car garage. Seven comparables have central air conditioning and two comparables each have a fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$27,027 to \$32,260 or from \$12.60 to \$14.18 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$35,756. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$357,560 or \$172.90 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$31,309 or \$15.14 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment on market value grounds, the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales located in the subarea of the subject or within 0.25 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables have sites that range in size from 7,383 to 9,282 square feet of land area and are improved with two-story, class 2-78 dwellings of frame or frame and masonry exterior construction that range in size from 2,136 to 2,248 square feet of living area. The homes are either 32 or 33 years old. Each comparable has an unfinished basement, central air conditioning and a 2-car garage. Three comparables each have one fireplace. The comparables sold from December 2015 to October 2016 for prices ranging from \$415,500 to \$479,900 or from \$184.83 to \$223.63 per square foot of living area, land included.

As to the inequity argument, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with two-story, class 2-78 dwellings of frame exterior construction that range in size from 2,014 to 2,202 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 31 to 33 years old. Three of the comparables have unfinished basements and one comparable has a concrete slab foundation. Each comparable has central air conditioning and either a 2-car or a 2.5-car garage. Three comparables each have one fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$34,521 to \$35,589 or from \$16.06 to \$17.45 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends, in part, the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted eight comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparable #4 due to its larger dwelling size when compared to the subject and to board of review comparable #2 which sold in 2015 and is dated and less likely to reflect the subject's market value as of the January 1, 2017 assessment date.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the remaining comparables which are similar to the subject in location, age, design, size and features. These comparables sold from January to October 2017 for prices ranging from \$345,000 to \$479,900 or from \$145.26 to \$223.63 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$357,560 or \$172.90 per square foot of living area, including land, which falls within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences with the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment, on the basis of overvaluation, is not justified.

The taxpayer also contends assessment inequity as an alternative basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment, based on inequity is not warranted.

The parties submitted twelve equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparables #4, #5 and #8 along with board of review comparable #1 which differ from the subject in either a concrete slab foundation compared to the subject's basement or lack central air conditioning, which is present in the subject improvements.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the remaining equity comparables which have improvement assessments that range from \$27,027 to \$35,589 or from \$13.00 to \$17.45 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$31,309 or \$15.14 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best equity comparables in the record. Therefore, after considering adjustments to the comparables for

differences with the subject, the Board finds the subject's assessment is supported and no reduction, based on uniformity is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21.	The
Chair	man
C. R.	Solot Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swah Bobber
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

## **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	April 20, 2021
	1111216
	ryam C-
	Clark of the Dropouts Tox Appeal Doord

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

#### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

#### **APPELLANT**

Dino Sakkos, by attorney: George N. Reveliotis Reveliotis Law, P.C. 1030 Higgins Road Suite 101 Park Ridge, IL 60068

## **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602