

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Harry Anastos
DOCKET NO.: 17-33468.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 12-26-202-025-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Harry Anastos, the appellant, by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$3,412 **IMPR.:** \$42,482 **TOTAL:** \$45,894

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story multi-family building of masonry exterior construction with 5,351 square feet of building area. The building is approximately 50 years old. Features of the building include six apartments and a full basement. The property has a 5,250 square foot site and is located in River Grove, Leyden Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with two-story or three-story multi-family buildings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 5,351 to 5,733 square feet of building area. The comparables

range in age from 42 to 50 years old. Each comparable has six apartments. Two comparables have full basements and two comparables have concrete slab foundations. The appellant reported a "2" for each comparable within the air conditioning section of the grid analysis<sup>1</sup>. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$36,506 to \$40,991 or from \$6.49 to \$7.15 per square foot of building area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the improvement assessment be reduced to \$37,150 or \$6.94 per square foot of building area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$45,894. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$42,482 or \$7.94 per square foot of building area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables that are located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with two-story multi-family buildings of masonry exterior construction each consisting of either 4,914 or 5,351 square feet of building area. The comparables range in age from 48 to 50 years old. Each comparable has a full basement with an apartment. One comparable has a two-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$40,159 to \$42,482 or from \$7.94 to \$8.34 per square foot of building area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the assessment be confirmed.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted eight suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparables #1 and #4 and the board of review's comparable #3 due to their lack of basements, three-story designs and/or lack of a garage when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the parties' remaining comparables as they are most similar to the subject property in location, design, exterior construction, age, dwelling size, basement and most features. The Board additionally finds the appellant's comparables #2 and #3 and the board of review's comparables #1 and #2 are identical or nearly identical in age, dwelling size and location with each located within the same block/street as the subject. The remaining comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$37,778 to \$42,482 or from \$7.06 to \$8.17 per square foot of building area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$42,482 or \$7.94 per square foot of building area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The appellant's attorney reports "2" for central air conditioning within the grid analysis. The Board has determined "2" signifies "No" based upon the description of the subject property provided by the board of review.

not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. <u>Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett</u>, 20 III.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that the properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity, which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fer	
	Chairman
a R	Sobet Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

## **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

November 17, 2020
MillEll
1 Juni C
_

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

## **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

Harry Anastos, by attorney: George N. Reveliotis Reveliotis Law, P.C. 1030 Higgins Road Suite 101 Park Ridge, IL 60068

## **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602