



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT:     Hani Alsharif  
DOCKET NO.:    17-33090.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.:     24-04-313-054-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Hani Alsharif, the appellant, by attorney Scott L. David, of Much Shelist, in Chicago, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:**     \$ 3,465  
**IMPR.:**    \$27,570  
**TOTAL:**    \$31,035

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame and masonry exterior construction with 2,857 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 12 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a two-car garage. The property has a 6,300 square foot site and is located in Oak Lawn, Worth Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant originally filed an appeal marking the basis of appeal as recent appraisal along with a request for an extension of time to submit evidence. The appellant's subsequent submission of evidence lacked the necessary basis of appeal (35 ILCS 200/16-180; 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.30(j)) but provided a brief along with four comparable properties with equity data, one of which sold in 2014. In the absence of sufficient recent market value evidence to assert overvaluation of the subject property (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c)(4)), the Board

will analyze this appeal based on the brief and evidence wherein the appellant implies assessment inequity as the basis of this appeal.

In support of the inequity argument the appellant submitted information on four comparables located in the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables consist of two-story class 2-78 dwellings of frame and masonry exterior construction. The homes range in age from 12 to 26 years old and range in size from 3,063 to 3,634 square feet of living area. Each comparable has a full or partial unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a two-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$25,389 to \$30,923 or from \$8.29 to \$8.51 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant as set forth in the Residential Appeal petition requested a reduced improvement assessment of \$21,535 or \$7.54 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$31,035. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$27,570 or \$9.65 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code as the subject property and in the subject's subarea. The comparables consist of two-story class 2-78 dwellings of frame and masonry exterior construction. The homes range in age from 4 to 16 years old and range in size from 2,671 to 3,041 square feet of living area. Each comparable has a full basement, one of which has a formal recreation room. The comparables feature central air conditioning, a fireplace and a two-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$28,697 to \$32,598 or from \$9.78 to \$12.20 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of eight comparable properties to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board has given reduced weight to appellant's comparables #1, #2 and #4 along with board of review comparable #1 due to significant differences in age when compared to the 12-year-old subject dwelling. The Board has given reduced weight to board of review comparable #4 due to its finished basement as compared to the subject's unfinished basement.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparable #3 along with board of review comparables #2 and #3. These comparables present varying degrees of

similarity to the subject in age, size and other features. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$28,697 to \$32,598 or from \$8.36 to \$10.72 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$27,570 or \$9.65 per square foot of living area falls below the range established by the best comparables in this record in terms of overall improvement assessment and within the range on a per-square-foot basis which is logical given the economies of scale; the subject dwelling is somewhat smaller than each of the best comparables in the record. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences such as dwelling size when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

July 20, 2021



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Hani Alsharif, by attorney:  
Scott L. David  
Much Shelist  
191 North Wacker Drive  
Suite 1800  
Chicago, IL 60606-1615

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602