



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Wojciech Cachro  
DOCKET NO.: 17-32675.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 19-29-304-037-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Wojciech Cachro, the appellant, by attorney George N. Reveliotis of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **a reduction** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$2,767  
**IMPR.:** \$8,703  
**TOTAL:** \$11,470

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 1,035 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 58 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement and a two-car garage. The property has a 3,690 square foot site and is located in Burbank, Stickney Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation and assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the bases of the appeal. In support of the overvaluation argument, the appellant submitted information on four comparable sales located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables have sites with 2,900 or 3,690 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with similar class 2-03 dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry

exterior construction ranging in size from 1,008 to 1,040 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 57 to 60 years old. One comparable has a crawl space foundation and three comparables each have a full or partial basement with one having finished area. The comparables each have a two-car garage. Comparable #4 has central air conditioning. The comparables sold from November 2016 to August 2017 for prices ranging from \$82,500 to \$140,000 or from \$81.85 to \$138.89 per square foot of living area, including land.

In support of the inequity argument, the appellant provided information on eight comparable properties that were located in the same neighborhood code as the subject property and within .11 of a mile from the subject. The comparables are improved with one-story dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,008 to 1,060 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 57 to 60 years old. Three comparables each have a full basement with one having finished area and five comparables each have a crawl space foundation. Four comparables have central air conditioning and each comparable has a one-car to a two and one-half-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$8,393 to \$12,010 or from \$7.94 to \$11.61 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's total assessment be reduced to \$11,446. The requested assessment would reflect a total market value of \$114,460 or \$110.59 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%. The request would lower the subject's improvement assessment to \$8,679 or \$8.39 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$15,730. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$157,300 or \$151.98 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$12,963 or \$12.52 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located within the same neighborhood code and block as the subject property. The comparables are improved with one-story dwellings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,032 to 1,080 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 56 to 59 years old. Each comparable features a full basement with one having finished area and each comparable has a two-car or a two and one-half-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$13,540 to \$14,738 or from \$13.12 to \$13.73 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

The board of review did not provide any sales data to address the appellant's overvaluation argument.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends in part that the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the only evidence of market value in the record are the four comparable sales submitted by the appellant. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables sale #1 and #4 due to their dissimilar foundations and/or central air conditioning feature when compared to the subject. The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appellant's comparable sales #2 and #3. These comparables are similar, if not identical, to the subject in dwelling size, design, age and features. They sold in August and May 2017 for prices of \$105,000 and \$125,000 or for \$101.45 and \$120.19 per square foot of living area, including land, respectively. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$157,300 or \$151.98 per square foot of living area, including land, which is above the best comparable sales in this record both in terms of overall value and on a square foot basis. After considering necessary adjustments to the comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified on grounds of overvaluation.

Alternatively, the taxpayer contends assessment inequity as a basis of the appeal concerning the improvement assessment. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds after having adjusted the subject's improvement assessment based on its market value, no further reduction based on assessment inequity is warranted on this record.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: March 16, 2021



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Wojciech Cachro, by attorney:  
George N. Reveliotis  
Reveliotis Law, P.C.  
1030 Higgins Road  
Suite 101  
Park Ridge, IL 60068

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602