

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Filippos Stolis
DOCKET NO.: 17-32674.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 19-32-200-033-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Filippos Stolis, the appellant, by attorney George N. Reveliotis of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>a reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$5,853 IMPR.: \$25,685 TOTAL: \$31,538

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story multi-family dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 3,471 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 47 years old. Features include four apartments, a full unfinished basement and a two-car detached garage. The property has an 11,707 square foot site and is located in Burbank, Stickney Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity and overvaluation as the bases of the appeal. In support of the inequity argument, the appellant provided information on four comparable properties that were located in the subject's neighborhood code and within .76 of a mile from the subject. The comparables are improved with two-story multi-family dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,830 to 3,400 square feet of living

area. The dwellings are 38 or 54 years old. Each comparable has two or four apartments and a full basement with two having finished area. Comparable #1 has a three-car detached garage. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$8,076 to \$24,807 or from \$2.85 to \$7.52 per square foot of living area.

In support of the overvaluation argument, the appellant submitted information on four comparable sales located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables have sites ranging in size from 5,875 to 10,386 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with similar class 2-11 dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 3,400 to 4,160 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 47 to 54 years old. Each comparable has four apartments and a full or partial basement with two having finished area. Comparable #1 has a two-car detached garage. The comparables sold from October 2015 to December 2016 for prices ranging from \$300,000 to \$375,000 or from \$78.13 to \$93.75 per square foot of living area, including land.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's total assessment be reduced to \$26,962. The requested assessment would reflect a total market value of \$269,620 or \$77.68 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%. The request would lower the subject's improvement assessment to \$21,109 or \$6.08 per square foot of living area.

The appellant also submitted a copy of the decision of the board of review disclosing the subject property has a total assessment of \$34,429 reflecting a market value of \$344,290 or \$99.19 per square foot of living area, including land, when using the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance level of assessment for class 2 property of 10%. The submission revealed the subject has an improvement assessment of \$28,576 or \$8.23 per square foot of living area.

The board of review did not submit its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" nor any evidence in support of its assessed valuation of the subject property.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends in part assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the only evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables. The Board gave less weight to comparable #4 as it appears to be an outlier with an improvement assessment of \$8,076 or \$2.85 per square foot of living area which is significantly less than the other comparables in the record. The Board finds the remaining comparables have varying

degrees of similarity to the subject. They have improvement assessments that range from \$18,845 to \$24,807 or from \$6.66 to \$7.52 per square foot of living area. Most weight was given to comparable #2 as it is most similar to the subject in dwelling size and number of apartments, though it lacks a garage. This comparable has an improvement assessment of \$24,807 or \$7.30 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$28,576 or \$8.23 per square foot of living area falls above the range established by the best comparables in this record. The board of review did not submit any evidence in support of its assessment of the subject property as required by section 1910.40(a) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board and is found to be in default pursuant to section 1910.69(a) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board. 86 Ill.Admin.Code \$1910.40(a) & \$1910.69(a). Based on this record, the Board finds the evidence demonstrates the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed by clear and convincing evidence and a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

The appellant also argued overvaluation as an alternative basis of the appeal. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c).

The appellant provided four comparable sales for the Board's consideration. After considering the assessment reduction granted to the subject property based on the assessment inequity argument, the Board finds a further reduction based on overvaluation is not appropriate. Therefore, no further reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fen
	Chairman
C. R.	asort Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Schley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	March 16, 2021
	111-10-16
	Man O

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Filippos Stolis, by attorney: George N. Reveliotis Reveliotis Law, P.C. 1030 Higgins Road Suite 101 Park Ridge, IL 60068

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602