



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Fernando Becerra
DOCKET NO.: 17-32672.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 19-32-224-035-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Fernando Becerra, the appellant, by attorney George N. Reveliotis of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **no change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$3,275
IMPR.: \$25,394
TOTAL: \$28,669

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a multi-level dwelling of frame and masonry exterior construction with 1,863 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 4 years old. Features of the home include a partial basement with finished area, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a two-car garage. The property has a 6,650 square foot site and is located in Burbank, Stickney Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-34 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation and assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the bases of the appeal. In support of the overvaluation argument, the appellant submitted information on four comparable sales located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables have sites that range in size from 6,150 to 8,299 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with similar class 2-34 dwellings of masonry or frame and

masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,335 to 2,082 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 16 to 52 years old. Each comparable features a partial basement with finished area and a one-car to a two-car garage. Three comparables each have central air conditioning and one fireplace. The comparables sold from December 2015 to August 2017 for prices ranging from \$190,500 to \$290,000 or from \$130.58 to \$146.76 per square foot of living area, including land.

In support of the inequity argument, the appellant provided information on six comparable properties that were located in the same neighborhood code as the subject property and within .20 of a mile from the subject. The comparables are improved with multi-level dwellings of frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,574 to 1,973 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 16 to 27 years old. Each comparable features a partial basement with finished area, one fireplace and a two-car garage. Five comparables have central air conditioning. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$19,266 to \$24,564 or from \$12.24 to \$12.87 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's total assessment be reduced to \$26,050. The requested assessment would reflect a total market value of \$260,500 or \$139.83 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%. The request would lower the subject's improvement assessment to \$22,775 or \$12.22 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$28,669. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$286,690 or \$153.89 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$25,394 or \$13.63 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable properties located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property and either one quarter of a mile from the subject or within the same subarea. The comparables have sites that range in size from 6,650 to 9,199 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with multi-level dwellings of frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,697 to 1,819 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 4 to 16 years old. Each comparable features a partial basement with finished area, central air conditioning and a two-car garage. Three comparables each have one fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$24,141 to \$26,030 or from \$13.85 to \$14.31 per square foot of living area. Comparables #2 and #3 sold in January and September 2016 for prices of \$370,723 and \$360,000 or for \$203.81 and \$206.54 per square foot of living area, including land, respectively. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends in part the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of six suggested comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparable sales as their dwellings are significantly older than the subject dwelling. The Board finds board of review's comparable sales #2 and #3 are identical to the subject in age and similar to the subject in location, dwelling size, design and features. These comparables sold in January and September 2016 for prices of \$370,723 and \$360,000 or for \$203.81 and \$206.54 per square foot of living area including land, respectively. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$286,690 or \$153.89 per square foot of living area, including land, which is below the market values of the best sales in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified based on overvaluation.

Alternatively, the taxpayer contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as a basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted ten equity comparable properties for the Board's consideration that were located within the subject's neighborhood code. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparables, along with board of review comparables #1 and #4 as their dwellings are significantly older than the subject dwelling. The Board finds board of review comparables #2 and #3 are identical to the subject in age and similar to the subject in location, dwelling size, design and features. These comparables have improvement assessments of \$26,030 and \$24,141 or \$14.31 and \$13.85 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$25,394 or \$13.63 per square foot of living area is below the best comparables in this record on a square foot basis. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment based on assessment uniformity is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: March 16, 2021



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Fernando Becerra, by attorney:
George N. Reveliotis
Reveliotis Law, P.C.
1030 Higgins Road
Suite 101
Park Ridge, IL 60068

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602