

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Ihab Oweisi

DOCKET NO.: 17-32644.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 18-36-402-032-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Ihab Oweisi, the appellant, by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$2,812 **IMPR.:** \$16,350 **TOTAL:** \$19,162

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a multi-level dwelling of frame and masonry exterior construction with 1,315 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 43 years old. Features of the home include a partial basement with a formal recreation room, central air conditioning and a two-car garage. The property has a 7,500 square foot site and is located in Bridgeview, Lyons Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-34 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation and assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the bases of the appeal. In support of the overvaluation argument the appellant submitted information on four comparable sales with the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables have sites that range in size from 6,483 to 7,560 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with class 2-34 dwellings of frame, masonry, or frame and masonry

exterior construction that range in size from 1,175 to 1,297 square feet of living area and in age from 30 to 50 years old. Each comparable has a partial basement with a formal recreation room. Two comparables have central air conditioning. One comparable has a fireplace. Three comparables have a 1.5-car or a 2-car garage. The sales occurred from June 2015 to July 2016 for prices ranging from \$136,000 to \$168,000 or from \$106.50 to \$131.91 per square foot of living area, land included.

In support of the inequity argument, the appellant submitted information on seven equity comparables that have the same neighborhood code and classification code as the subject. The comparables are described as multi-level dwellings of frame or frame and masonry exterior construction that range in size from 1,237 to 1,633 square feet of living area and in age from 39 to 50 years old. Each comparable has a partial basement with a formal recreation room. One comparable has central air conditioning. Three comparables have a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$12,708 to \$17,228 or from \$9.66 to \$11.14 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's assessment be reduced to \$16,155, reflecting a market value of \$161,550 or \$122.85 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%. The request would lower the subject's improvement assessment to \$13,343 or \$10.15 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$19,162. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$191,620 or \$145.72 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$16,350 or \$12.43 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on twelve comparables that have the same neighborhood code and classification code as the subject.<sup>1</sup> The comparables have sites ranging in size from 6,929 to 10,708 square feet of land area and are improved with multi-level dwellings of frame and masonry exterior construction that range in size from 1,056 to 1,387 square feet of living area. The dwellings are 30 to 49 years old. The comparables each have a partial basement with a formal recreation room. Ten comparables have central air conditioning. Three comparables each have a fireplace. Each comparable has a 2-car or a 2.5-car garage. Comparable sales #1 through #5 sold from July 2015 to June 2016 for prices ranging from \$179,900 to \$255,000 or from \$169.21 to \$196.76 per square foot of living area, including land. Equity comparables #6 through #12 have improvement assessments ranging from \$16,926 to \$19,983 or from \$12.57 to \$15.20 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For ease of reading, the second set of four of the board of review comparables were renumbered as #5 through #8 and third set of four of the board of review comparables were renumbered as #9 through #12.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains nine comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparable sales #2 and #4 along with board of review comparables #2 through #5 due to their sale dates in 2015 being less proximate in time to the subject's January 1, 2017 assessment than other sales in the record.

The Board finds the best evidence of the subject's market value to be appellant's comparable #1 and #3 along with board of review comparable #1. These comparables sold most proximate in time to the January 1, 2017 assessment date and are similar to the subject in design, dwelling size and most features. The sales occurred from January to July 2016 for prices ranging from \$160,000 to \$255,000 or from \$123.36 to \$196.76 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$191,620 or \$145.72 per square foot of living area, including land, which falls within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for any differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's estimated market value as reflected by its assessment is supported and no reduction in the subject's assessment based on overvaluation is justified.

The appellant also argued assessment inequity as an alternative basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject. The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains 14 equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparables and board of review comparables #6 and #7 due to their larger dwelling size, lack of a garage and/or lack of central air conditioning when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be board of review equity comparables #8 through #12. These comparables overall are most similar to the subject in location, dwelling size, design, age and most features. The comparable have improvement assessments ranging from \$16,926 to \$19,983 or from \$12.57 to \$15.20 per square foot of living area. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$16,350 or \$12.43 per square foot of living area, which falls below the range established by the best comparables in this record. After

considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is supported.

Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

| 2            | 1. Fem         |
|--------------|----------------|
|              | Chairman       |
| a R          | Sobert Staffer |
| Member       | Member         |
| Dan De Kinin | Sarah Bokley   |
| Member       | Member         |
|              |                |
| DISSENTING:  |                |

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

| Date: | March 16, 2021                         |
|-------|--|
|       | Middle 14                              |
|       | Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board |

# IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

### **APPELLANT**

Ihab Oweisi, by attorney: George N. Reveliotis Reveliotis Law, P.C. 1030 Higgins Road Suite 101 Park Ridge, IL 60068

### **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602