

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Eco Dwell

DOCKET NO.: 17-32089.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 17-08-228-005-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Eco Dwell, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$8,775 **IMPR.:** \$32,561 **TOTAL:** \$41,336

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a three-story, multi-family building of masonry exterior construction with 3,630 square feet of building area. The building is approximately 137 years old and has a crawl space foundation. The property has a 2,925 square foot site and is located in Chicago, West Chicago Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four comparables that have the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are described as class 2-11, multi-family buildings of masonry exterior construction that range in size from 4,257 to 4,578 square feet of building area. The buildings range in age from 125 to 132 years old. The comparables have full basements with three having an apartment. One comparable has central

air conditioning and a two-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$28,076 to \$32,049 or from \$6.37 to \$7.17 per square foot of building area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$25,047 or \$6.90 per square foot of building area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment of \$41,336. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$32,561 or \$8.97 per square foot of building area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located within the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are described as class 2-11, three-story multi-family buildings of masonry exterior construction that range in size from 3,276 to 3,570 square feet of building area. The buildings range in age 125 to 137 years old. The comparables have full unfinished basements. Two comparables each have a one-car or a two-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$34,320 to \$42,181 or from \$10.00 to \$12.88 per square foot of building area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains eight equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparables due to their larger building sizes when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the board of review comparables which are most similar in building size when compared to the subject. However, all have basements in contrast to the subject's crawl space foundation and two have garages unlike the subject. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$34,320 to \$42,181 or from \$10.00 to \$12.88 per square foot of building area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$32,561 or \$8.97 per square foot of building area, falls below the range established by the best comparables in this record which is logical due to subject's lack of a basement and garage. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement appears to be equitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
	Chairman
C. R.	Sovet Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	September 21, 2021
	Middle 14
	Clark of the Droporty Toy Appeal Board

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

Eco Dwell, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

# **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602