

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Kostandino Kobuzi DOCKET NO.: 17-31242.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 09-27-213-014-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Kostandino Kobuzi, the appellant, by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$6,600 **IMPR.:** \$41,350 **TOTAL:** \$47,950

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 2,931 square feet of living area.¹ The dwelling is 62 years old. Features of the home include a full finished basement, central air conditioning, and a 2-car garage. The property has an 8,800 square foot site and is located in Park Ridge, Maine Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a Class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity

¹ The Board finds the only evidence of the subject's property description was provided within the appellant's evidence.

comparables that are located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are two-story dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,418 to 3,276 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 18 to 61 years old and each has a partial or full basement with two having finished area. Each comparable has central air conditioning and either a 1-car, a 1.5-car or a 2-car garage. One comparable has a fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$32,909 to \$45,145 or from \$13.61 to \$14.25 per square foot of living area. The appellant's submission revealed that the subject has an improvement assessment of \$44,505 which equates to \$15.18 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence the appellant's requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$40,938.

The board of review did not timely submit any evidence in support of the assessment of the subject property or to refute the appellant's argument as required by section 1910.40(a) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board and is found to be in default pursuant to section 1910.69(a) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board. (86 Ill.Admin.Code 1910.40(a) & 1910.69(a)).

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the board of review did not timely submit any evidence in support of its assessment of the subject property or to refute the evidence submitted by the appellant as required by Section1910.40(a) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board and is in default pursuant to Section 1910.69(a) of the rules of the Board. (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.40(a); 1910.69(a)).

The Board further finds the only evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #1 due to its significantly smaller dwelling size and the appellant's comparable #4 due to its considerably newer age when compared to the subject dwelling.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables #2 and #3 because they are most similar to the subject in location, design, exterior construction, dwelling size, and other features. Additionally, the Board gives greater weight to the appellant comparable #2 since it is also nearly identical to the subject in age, dwelling size, and has a full finished basement, like the subject. These two comparables have improvement assessments of \$37,576 and \$41,354 or \$14.23 and \$14.25 per square foot of living area. The subject's

improvement assessment of \$44,505 or \$15.18 per square foot of living area falls above the two best comparables in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is not supported. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant demonstrated with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fem
·	Chairman
C. R.	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swah Schler
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	November 17, 2020	
	Michl 215	
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board	

Clerk of the Property Tax Appear Boar

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Kostandino Kobuzi, by attorney: George N. Reveliotis Reveliotis Law, P.C. 1030 Higgins Road Suite 101 Park Ridge, IL 60068

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602