

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Nikolaos Floros DOCKET NO.: 17-31236.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 09-24-107-043-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Nikolaos Floros, the appellant, by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$3,313 **IMPR.:** \$21,383 **TOTAL:** \$24,696

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject consists of a multi-level dwelling of frame and masonry exterior construction with 1,176 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 55 years old. Features of the home include a partial basement with a formal recreation room, central air conditioning, one fireplace, and a 2-car garage. The property has a 4,909 square foot site, and is located in Niles, Maine Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-34 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on three equity

¹ The appellant's attorney reports "1" or "2" for central air conditioning within the grid analysis. The Board has determined "1" signifies "Yes" and "2" signifies "No" for central air conditioning based upon the description of the subject property provided by the board of review.

comparables that are located within the same neighborhood code as the subject. The appellant's comparable #4 is also located within the same block as the subject. The comparables are improved with multi-level dwellings of frame and masonry or masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,076 to 1,306 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 49 to 62 years old and each has a partial basement with a formal recreation room. One comparable has central air conditioning, three comparables each have one fireplace, and each comparable has either a 2-car or a 2.5-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$13,948 to \$20,257 or from \$10.68 to \$17.24 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the improvement assessment be reduced to \$16,191 or \$13.77 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$24,696. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$21,383 or \$18.18 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables that are located within the same neighborhood code as the subject. Two comparables are also located within the same block as the subject. The comparables are improved with multi-level dwellings of frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,175 to 1,248 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 52 to 60 years old, and each has a partial basement with a formal recreation room. Three comparables each have central air conditioning, and each comparable has a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$23,634 to \$24,992 or from \$19.19 to \$21.27 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested that the assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board recognizes from the seven comparables submitted by both parties, the appellant's comparables #1 and #2 are the same property. The Board finds all of the comparables are very similar to the subject property in location, design, exterior construction, age, dwelling size, foundation and most features. Both parties' comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$10.68 to \$21.27 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$18.18 per square foot of living area is within the range established by both parties' comparables. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

 $^{^2}$ While the appellant's grid analysis displays four properties, comparables #1 and #2 are duplications of the same property.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fen
	Chairman
C. R.	asort Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Schley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	November 17, 2020
	Midd 215
	Clark of the Droporty Toy Arned Board

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Nikolaos Floros, by attorney: George N. Reveliotis Reveliotis Law, P.C. 1030 Higgins Road Suite 101 Park Ridge, IL 60068

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602