



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Daniel Busby
DOCKET NO.: 17-30817.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 18-04-100-011-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Daniel Busby, the appellant, by attorney Abby L. Strauss, of Schiller Law P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$5,156
IMPR.: \$61,301
TOTAL: \$66,457

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 3,066 square feet of living area.¹ The dwelling is approximately 126 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a 2-car garage. The property has a 6,250 square foot site located in La Grange, Lyons Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-06 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends improvement assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted limited information on four equity comparables that are

¹ The appellant's attorney failed to provide the required Section V Grid Analysis. Limited property characteristics can be gleaned from the appellant's evidence provided for the subject and the comparables. The subject's description was gleaned from the board of review's evidence.

located in the same neighborhood code as the subject. The appellant's attorney provided photographs and limited property characteristics for these comparables. The comparables are improved with similar class 2-06 dwellings of frame exterior construction ranging in size from 2,770 to 3,180 square feet of living area. The comparables range in age from 91 to 129 years old. The comparables have improvement assessments of \$34,967 to \$61,200 or from \$11.85 to \$19.77 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$52,980 or \$17.28 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$66,457. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$61,301 or \$19.99 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables that are located within the same neighborhood as the subject property. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of frame exterior construction ranging in size from 2,836 to 3,055 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 94 to 124 years old. The comparables have full basements, with one having finished area. Each comparable has central air conditioning and a 1.5-car to a 2.5-car garage. Three comparables each have one fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$60,256 to \$64,562 or from \$20.85 to \$21.34 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested that the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted eight suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #1 as it appears to be an outlier with its lower improvement assessment when compared to the subject and other comparables in this record. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #2 and #3 and the board of review's comparables #1 and #2 due to their newer ages when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the parties' remaining comparables as they are similar to the subject in location, design, exterior construction, age and dwelling size. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$60,485 to \$64,562 or from \$19.77 to \$21.13 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$61,301 or \$19.99 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

May 18, 2021



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Daniel Busby, by attorney:
Abby L. Strauss
Schiller Law P.C.
33 North Dearborn
Suite 1130
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602