

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Nick Maratos
DOCKET NO.: 17-30813.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 09-23-102-091-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Nick Maratos, the appellant, by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$7,246 **IMPR.:** \$44,438 **TOTAL:** \$51,684

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame and masonry exterior construction with 3,364 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 16 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a 2.5-car garage. The property has a 9,350 square foot site and is located in Niles, Maine Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four comparable sales that have a different neighborhood code than the subject and are reported to be located over 1 mile from the subject. The

¹ The Board finds the subject data reported on the appellant's grid analysis was for a different property. The subject data was gleaned from the board of review's evidence.

comparables have sites ranging in size from 3,690 to 6,700 square feet of land area and are improved with class 2-78 dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction that range in size from 2,142 to 3,662 square feet of living area and in age from 18 to 62 years old. Two comparables have full unfinished basements and two comparables have crawl space foundations. Each comparable has central air conditioning and a 1.5-car to a 2.5-car garage. One comparable has a fireplace. The sales occurred from March 2016 to February 2017 for prices ranging from \$259,200 to \$465,000 or from \$103.02 to \$133.15 per square foot of living area, inclusive of the land. The appellant requested the subject's assessment be reduced to \$29,579.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$51,684. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$516,840 or \$199.69 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the level of assessment for class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparables with equity data. The board of review failed to address the appellant's overvaluation argument with market value evidence.

Based on this equity evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board gives no weight to the board of review comparables as they do not address the appellant's overvaluation argument.

Although the Board finds it problematic that the purported description of the subject property in the appellant's grid analysis is for a different property, the Board finds the only evidence of market value contained in the record are the four comparable sales supplied by the appellant, none of which were particularly similar to the subject. For example, all of the comparables are located in a different neighborhood code than the subject and are reported to be located over one mile from the subject, three comparables are considerably older in age and have smaller dwelling sizes than the subject, and two comparables have crawl space foundations unlike the subject's basement foundation. These comparables sold from February 2016 to February 2017 for prices ranging from \$259,200 to \$465,000 or from \$103.02 to \$133.15 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$516,840 or \$199.69 per square foot of living area, including land, which falls above the range established by the four comparable sales in this record. However, after examining the evidence submitted by the

appellant and considering adjustments to the comparables for differences in location, dwelling size, age and/or features when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant failed to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fen
	Chairman
C. R.	asort Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Schley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	March 16, 2021
	141-10716
	Man O
	C11

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Nick Maratos, by attorney: George N. Reveliotis Reveliotis Law, P.C. 1030 Higgins Road Suite 101 Park Ridge, IL 60068

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602