

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Scott Schneider
DOCKET NO.: 17-30697.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 09-22-112-042-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Scott Schneider, the appellant, by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$ 5,445 **IMPR.:** \$17,849 **TOTAL:** \$23,294

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 1,095 square feet of living area. The dwelling was 61 years old. Features of the home include a full basement with a formal recreation room and central air conditioning. The property has a 7,260 square foot site and is located in Park Ridge, Maine Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four comparable sales that were located within the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables had lots ranging in size from 4,585 to 10,800 square feet of land area and were improved with similar class 2-03 dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction. The comparables ranged in size from 1,170 to 1,734

square feet of living area and ranged in age from 60 to 66 years old. Three comparables had either a concrete slab or a crawl-space foundation and one had a full unfinished basement. Two comparables had central air conditioning, one comparable has a fireplace and three comparables have either one-car or two-car garages. The comparables sold from January 2015 to November 2016 for prices ranging from \$185,500 to \$307,500 or from \$155.62 to \$177.34 per square foot of living area, including land.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's total assessment be reduced to \$18,041. The requested assessment would reflect a total market value of \$180,410 or \$164.76 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$23,294. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$232,940 or \$212.73 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on eight comparable properties consisting of three equity comparables identified as #1, #2 and #3. As equity data is not responsive to the appellant's overvaluation argument, this data will not be further examined. The second page of comparables for ease of reference have been renumbered as comparables #5 through #8. Comparable #4 is a duplication of comparable #6.

Comparables #5 through #8 consist of sales of properties that were located within the same neighborhood code as the subject. These comparables had lots ranging in size from 4,585 to 10,538 square feet of land area and were improved with similar one-story dwellings of masonry exterior construction. The comparables ranged in size from 1,038 to 1,193 square feet of living area and were 51 to 63 years old. Each comparable has a full or partial basement, one of which has finished area. Two dwellings have central air conditioning, one has a fireplace and three comparables have either a one-car or a two-car garage. The comparables sold from May to October 2016 for prices ranging from \$257,500 to \$372,000 or from \$215.84 to \$333.33 per square foot of living area, including land.

Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

#### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of eight comparable sales to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board has given reduced weight to the appellant's comparables due to differences in foundation and/or dwelling size when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be board of review comparable sales #5 through #8 which are similar to the subject in location, design, exterior construction, age, dwelling size and foundation. These most similar comparables sold from May to October 2016 for prices ranging from \$257,500 to \$372,000 or from \$215.84 to \$333.33 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$232,940 or \$212.73 per square foot of living area, including land, which is below the range established by the best comparable sales in this record both in terms of overall value and on a per-square-foot basis. Based on this evidence and after considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fer	
	Chairman
a R	Sobet Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	March 16, 2021
	14:10)16
	Man Di
	Clark of the Decree to Toron Association and

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

# IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

# **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

# **APPELLANT**

Scott Schneider, by attorney: George N. Reveliotis Reveliotis Law, P.C. 1030 Higgins Road Suite 101 Park Ridge, IL 60068

# **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602