



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Tom Kailis  
DOCKET NO.: 17-30692.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 09-34-417-015-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Tom Kailis, the appellant, by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$5,425  
**IMPR.:** \$34,485  
**TOTAL:** \$39,910

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 2,115 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 67 years old and has a full finished basement. Other features include central air conditioning, two fireplaces, and a 1-car garage. The property has a 6,200 square foot site and is located in Park Ridge, Maine Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-05 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables that are located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. One comparable is also located on the same street as the subject. The comparables are two-story dwellings of frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,777 to 2,162 square

feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 74 to 79 years old, and each comparable has a partial or full unfinished basement and a 1-car or a 2-car garage. Two comparables each have central air conditioning,<sup>1</sup> and three comparables each have one fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$26,566 to \$32,374 or from \$14.63 to \$14.97 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$31,443 or \$14.87 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$39,910. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$34,485 or \$16.30 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables that are located within the same neighborhood code as the subject. Two comparables are also located within the subject's same block. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,936 to 2,089 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 67 to 79 years old, and each comparable has a partial or full basement with two having finished area. Other features include central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, and either a 1-car, a 2-car, or a 2.5-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$32,080 to \$36,300 or from \$16.57 to \$16.79 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested that the subject's assessment be confirmed.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted eight suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #2 which is significantly smaller in dwelling size when compared to the subject dwelling than the other comparables.

The Board finds the best comparables to be the appellant's remaining comparables as well as the board of review comparables. These seven comparables are most similar to the subject location, design, dwelling size, and other features, except for five of the seven comparables each have a dissimilar partial or full unfinished basement when compared to the subject's full finished basement. These seven comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$30,953 to \$36,300 or from \$14.63 to \$17.92 per square foot of living area. The board gives the most weight to the board of review comparable #2 with an improvement assessment of \$35,147 or

---

<sup>1</sup> The appellant's attorney reports "1" or "2" for central air conditioning within the grid analysis. The Board has determined "1" signifies "Yes" and "2" signifies "No" for central air conditioning based upon the description of the subject property provided by the board of review.

\$17.06 per square foot of living area because it is located within the subject's same block, identical in age to the subject dwelling, and similar to the subject in most features. The subject's improvement assessment of \$34,485 or \$16.30 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables contained in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

November 17, 2020



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Tom Kailis, by attorney:  
George N. Reveliotis  
Reveliotis Law, P.C.  
1030 Higgins Road  
Suite 101  
Park Ridge, IL 60068

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602