

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Zachary Olmen
DOCKET NO.: 17-29314.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 09-35-108-016-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Zachary Olmen, the appellant, by attorney Abby L. Strauss, of Schiller Law P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$4,860 **IMPR.:** \$51,283 **TOTAL:** \$56,143

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a class 2-78 dwelling of frame exterior construction with 2,019 square feet of living area.¹ The dwelling is approximately 1 year old. The property is located at 1028 Prairie Ave., Park Ridge, Maine Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables that are located within the same neighborhood code as the subject. The appellant's

¹ The appellant's attorney failed to provide the required Section V Grid Analysis contained on page 4 of the "Residential Appeal" form. Limited property characteristics can be gleaned from the appellant's evidence provided for the subject and the comparables. The subject's description was gleaned from the appellant's petition and other evidence.

attorney provided photographs and limited property characteristics for these comparables. The comparables are improved with similar class 2-78 dwellings of frame or masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,118 to 2,364 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 4 to 18 years old. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$49,957 to \$57,591 or from \$21.34 to \$25.31 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$48,274 or \$23.91 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" for docket #17-33146 and parcel #15-03-126-077-0000 which is not the subject.

The appellant's submission included a copy of the "Cook County Board of Review" final decision dated December 26, 2017 disclosing the subject has a total assessment of \$56,143. The submission by the appellant also revealed that the subject has an improvement assessment of \$51,283 or \$25.40 per square foot of living area.

The appellant submitted a rebuttal indicating the board of review's evidence was for another property rather than the subject under appeal.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted seven suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives no weight to the board of review's evidence as it was for another docket and property. In addition, these comparables were located within a different neighborhood code than the subject and had older ages, dissimilar designs and dwelling sizes and/or lacked a basement in contrast to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables as they are similar to the subject in location, design and dwelling size, except the comparables are each older than the subject suggesting upward adjustments may be necessary to make them more equivalent to the subject. The appellant's comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$21.34 to \$25.31. The subject's improvement assessment of \$25.40 per square foot of living area exceeds the range established by the comparables in this record but is justified based on its newer age. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment based on inequity is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21.	hen
Chair	rman
C. R.	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swan Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	June 8, 2021
	111.10)16
	Man O
	C1 1 C1 D + T A 1D 1

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Zachary Olmen, by attorney: Abby L. Strauss Schiller Law P.C. 33 North Dearborn Suite 1130 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602