

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Meredith Onion
DOCKET NO.:	17-28756.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	18-04-306-010-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Meredith Onion, the appellant, by attorney Michael R. Davies, of Ryan Law LLP in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$7,672
IMPR.:	\$56,041
TOTAL:	\$63,713

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story frame single-family dwelling with 2,476 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 136 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air-conditioning, one fireplace, and a two-car garage. The property has a 9,300 square foot site and is located in La Grange, Lyons Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-06 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five comparable properties located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables consist of one, class 2-04 1.5 – 1.9-story single-family dwelling and four, class 2-11 two-story multi-family dwellings, all of frame exterior construction. The dwellings were built from 102 to 138 years ago and range in

size from 1,912 to 3,034 square feet of living area. Four comparables each have a full unfinished basement and one comparable has a slab foundation. Two comparables have central air-conditioning. Two comparables each have one fireplace. Each comparable has either a 2-car or a 2.5-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$32,418 to \$49,785 or from \$16.27 to \$16.96 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's total assessment be reduced to \$47,957. The request would lower the subject's improvement assessment to \$40,285 or \$16.27 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$63,713. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$56,041 or \$22.63 per square foot of living area.

In support of its argument the board of review submitted information on four comparable properties located within the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables consist of similar class 2-06 two-story single-family dwellings of frame exterior construction. The dwellings were built from 106 to 129 years ago and range in size from 2,331 to 2,935 square feet of living area. The comparables each have a full unfinished basement, one fireplace, and a 2-car garage. Three comparables have central air-conditioning. The comparables have improvement assessments of ranging from \$53,613 to \$72,717 or from \$23.00 to \$25.67 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as a basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 III.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity, and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 III.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted nine suggested comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables as appellant's comparables #1, #2, #4 and #5 differ from the subject in property classification and are multi-family dwellings, dissimilar to the subject which is a single-family dwelling and comparable #3 differs from the subject in design, foundation type, and dwelling size. The Board gives less weight to board of review comparables #2 and #3 which differ from the subject in dwelling size or lack central air-conditioning, dissimilar to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of value in this record to be board of review comparables #1 and #4 which are similar to the subject property in location, age, design, and most features. These comparables had improvement assessments of \$62,173 and \$60,656 or \$25.67 and \$25.55 per square foot of living area, respectively. The subject's improvement assessment of \$56,041 or \$22.63 per square foot of living area is lower than either of the best comparables in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds that the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman Member Member Member Member **DISSENTING:**

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

September 21, 2021

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes. Docket No: 17-28756.001-R-1

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois

Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Meredith Onion , by attorney:

Michael R. Davies

Ryan Law LLP

311 South Wacker Drive

Mailbox #29

Chicago, IL 60606

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review

County Building, Room 601

118 North Clark Street

Chicago, IL 60602

Docket No: 17-28756.001-R-1