

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Kenneth Zdrok CSMA BLT, LLC

DOCKET NO.: 17-28646.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 28-10-209-001-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Kenneth Zdrok CSMA BLT, LLC, the appellant, by attorney Abby L. Strauss, of Schiller Law P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$2,204 **IMPR.:** \$7,725 **TOTAL:** \$9,929

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

# Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of frame and masonry construction with 1,002 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 54 years old. Features of the home include a crawl-space foundation, central air conditioning and a 2-car detached garage. The property has a 6,784 square foot site and is located in Midlothian, Bremen Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the subject's improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables that were located within the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables were class 2-03 dwellings of frame or frame and masonry construction that ranged in size from 1,090 to 1,280 square feet of living area. The homes ranged in age from 55 to 64

years old. One comparable had a full unfinished basement, one comparable had a finished partial basement and two comparables had crawl-space foundations. One comparable had central air conditioning and each comparable had either a 1-car or a 2-car garage. The comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$5,519 to \$6,639 or from \$4.86 to \$6.09 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence the appellant requested that the subject's assessment be reduced to \$7,485.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$9,929. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$7,725 or \$7.71 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted a grid analysis containing four equity comparables that were located within the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables were one-story class 2-03 dwellings of frame and masonry construction that contained either 1,040 or 1,076 square feet of living area. The homes ranged in age from 51 to 53 years old. Three comparables had a full unfinished basement and one comparable had a crawl-space foundation. One comparable had central air conditioning and two comparables each had a 1-car garage. The comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$10,799 to \$12,083 or from \$10.04 to \$11.62 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends improvement assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of eight comparable properties for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparables #1, #2 and #3, due to their older age or their larger size when compared to the subject. The Board finds the parties' remaining comparables were most similar to the subject in location, style, age, size and most features. However, four of the parties' best comparables had a basement foundation, unlike the subject. Nevertheless, the best comparables had improvement assessments ranging from of \$6,639 to \$12,083 or from \$6.09 to \$11.62 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$7,725 or \$7.71 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in the record. After considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is justified. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21.	The
Chairr	nan
a R	assert Staffer
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Sarah Bobbler
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

## **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	May 18, 2021
	111.10)16
	Manon
	Clark of the Decree to Tare Association

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

# **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

Kenneth Zdrok CSMA BLT, LLC, by attorney: Abby L. Strauss Schiller Law P.C. 33 North Dearborn Suite 1130 Chicago, IL 60602

# **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602