

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Bilent Amedowski
DOCKET NO .:	17-27016.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	23-35-310-033-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Bilent Amedowski, the appellant, by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>*no change*</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$8,203
IMPR.:	\$31,328
TOTAL:	\$39,531

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of masonry construction with 2,840 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 30 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and an attached two-car garage. The property has a 13,125 square foot site and is located in Orland Park, Palos Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant's appeal is based on both overvaluation and assessment equity. In support of the overvaluation argument the appellant submitted a grid analysis containing four comparable sales that were located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables had lots ranging in size from 9,258 to 11,808 square feet of land area and were improved with class 2-78 dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry construction. The homes ranged in size

from 2,176 to 3,261 square feet of living area and ranged in age from 30 to 34 years old. The appellant's grid reported comparables #1, #2 and #4 as having full and unfinished basements, while comparable #3 had a partial and formal rec. room. The comparables had other features with varying degrees of similarity to the subject. The comparables sold from May 2016 to June 2017 for prices ranging from \$254,000 to \$415,000 or from \$116.73 to \$127.26 per square foot of living area, including land.

In support of the assessment inequity argument with respect to the improvement, the appellant submitted a grid analysis containing four comparable properties that were located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables were improved with two-story dwellings of frame and masonry construction that ranged in size from 2,728 to 3,015 square feet of living area and ranged in age from 31 to 36 years old. The comparables had full unfinished basements. The comparables had other features with varying degrees of similarity to the subject. The comparable properties had improvement assessments ranging from \$28,214 to \$30,730 or from \$10.14 to \$10.41 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's total assessment be reduced to \$35,078. The requested assessment would reflect a total market value of \$350,780 or \$123.51 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%. The request would lower the subject's improvement assessment to \$26,875 or \$9.46 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$39,531. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$395,310 or \$139.19 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$31,328 or \$11.03 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted a sales grid analysis and a separate equity grid analysis. The sales grid contained information on four comparable properties, one of which was located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables had lot sizes ranging from 9,423 to 30,000 square feet of land area and were improved with multi-level dwellings of frame and masonry construction. The homes ranged in size from 1,755 to 2,171 square feet of living area and ranged in age from 20 to 50 years old. The comparables had partial basements that were finished. The comparables had other features with varying degrees of similarity to the subject. The comparables sold from June 2015 to December 2016 for prices ranging from \$257,000 to \$344,900 or from \$139.23 to \$177.07 per square foot of living area, including land.

The board of review's equity grid contained four comparable properties that were located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property, two of which were on the same block as the subject. The board of review's equity comparable #2 was the same property submitted within the appellant's sales grid as sale #2. The comparables were improved with similar two-story dwellings of masonry construction that contained from 2,714 to 2,923 square feet of living area and ranged in age from 30 to 34 years old. Three comparables had full basements, one of which

was finished, and one had a partial basement that was finished. The comparables had other features with varying degrees of similarity to the subject. The comparable properties had improvement assessments ranging from \$32,657 to \$35,208 or from \$11.23 to \$12.62 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence the board of review requested that the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends in part that the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of nine suggested comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the board of review's comparables due to their dissimilar multilevel designs and significantly smaller sizes when compared to the subject. In addition, three comparables are located outside the subject's neighborhood code and two have significantly larger lots than the subject. The Board also gave less weight to the appellant's comparable sale #3, due to its significantly smaller dwelling size when compared to the subject. The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be appellant's comparable sales #1, #2 and #4. These comparables sold for prices ranging from \$340,000 to \$415,000 or from \$124.63 to \$127.26 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$395,310 or \$139.19 per square foot of living area, including land, which is within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record on a total market value basis, but above on a per square foot basis. However, after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, such as its larger lot, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment based on overvaluation is not justified.

The taxpayer also contends assessment inequity as an alternative basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted eight equity comparable properties that were located in the subject's neighborhood code. The Board finds all of the comparables were similar to the subject in location, style, size, age and most features. However, all of the appellant's comparables would require an upward adjustment for exterior construction and two of the board of review's comparables would require a downward adjustment for their finished basement area.

Nevertheless, the parties' comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$28,214 to \$35,208 or from \$10.14 to \$12.62 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$31,328 or \$11.03 per square foot of living area falls within the range of the improvement comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment based on assessment uniformity is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



<u>CERTIFICATION</u>

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

November 17, 2020

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Bilent Amedowski, by attorney: George N. Reveliotis Reveliotis Law, P.C. 1030 Higgins Road Suite 101 Park Ridge, IL 60068

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602