

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Dominick Cotrano
DOCKET NO.: 17-27011.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 28-36-104-010-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Dominick Cotrano, the appellant, by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$2,399 **IMPR.:** \$5,303 **TOTAL:** \$7,702

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of frame and masonry construction with 1,237 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 56 years old. Features of the home include a slab foundation, central air conditioning and a one-car garage. The property has a 6,856 square foot site and is located in Hazel Crest, Bremen Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four comparable sales that were located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables had lot sizes ranging from 6,958 or 8,596 square feet of land area and were improved with similar class 2-03 dwellings of frame or frame and masonry construction. The homes ranged in size from 1,308 to 1,495 square feet of

living area and ranged in age from 48 to 57 years old. One comparable had a full finished basement, two had partial basements that were finished, and one had a partial basement that was unfinished. The comparables had other features with varying degrees of similarity to the subject. The comparables sold from April 2016 to November 2017 for prices ranging from \$52,000 to \$76,000 or from \$37.74 to \$50.94 per square foot of living area, including land.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's total assessment be reduced to \$5,643. The requested assessment would reflect a total market value of \$56,430 or \$45.62 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$7,702. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$77,020 or \$62.26 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable properties, all of which were located within .25 of a mile from the subject, with comparables #2 and #3 being located on the same block as the subject. All of the comparables were located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables had lot sizes ranging from 7,215 to 9,045 square feet of land area and were improved with similar one-story dwellings of frame or frame and masonry construction. The homes ranged in size from 1,258 to 1,495 square feet of living area and ranged in age from 48 to 57 years old. All of the comparables had partial basements that were finished. The comparables had other features with varying degrees of similarity to the subject. The comparables sold from July to December 2016 for prices ranging from \$93,000 to \$159,500 or from \$73.93 to \$121.56 per square foot of living area, including land.

Based on this evidence the board of review requested that the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of eight comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board finds neither party submitted comparables with a slab foundation, like the subject. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparable sales, due to their locations being over a mile from the subject or not being disclosed. The Board finds the best sales in the record were the board of review's comparable sales. These comparables were most similar to the subject in location, lot size, style, age, size and most features. However, the Board further finds that the board of review's comparables are superior to the subject, due to their basement foundations,

unlike the subject's slab foundation. The board of review's comparables sold from July to December 2016 for prices ranging from \$93,000 to \$159,500 or from \$73.93 to \$121.56 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$77,020 or \$62.26 per square foot of living area, including land, which falls below the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. However, after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's lower assessment is justified. Based on this evidence the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fer	
	Chairman
a R	Sobet Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	November 17, 2020
	111:10/16
	Man O
-	Clauda af tha Duamanta Tara Amara 1 Daami

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Dominick Cotrano, by attorney: George N. Reveliotis Reveliotis Law, P.C. 1030 Higgins Road Suite 101 Park Ridge, IL 60068

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602