

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Christine Michael
DOCKET NO.: 17-26344.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 05-29-409-019-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Christine Michael, the appellant, by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$22,550 **IMPR.:** \$134,427 **TOTAL:** \$156,977

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 4,789 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 18 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces, and a 3-car garage. The property has a 15,552 square foot site and is located in Wilmette, New Trier Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-08 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity

¹ The appellant's attorney reports "1" or "2" for central air conditioning within the grid analysis. The Board has determined "1" signifies "Yes" and "2" signifies "No" for central air conditioning based upon the description of the subject property provided by the board of review.

comparables that are located within the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of frame or masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 4,252 to 4,904 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 12 to 60 years old and each has a partial or full basement with two having finished area. Three comparables each have central air conditioning, from one to four fireplaces, and either a 2-car or a 3-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$99,306 to \$120,491 or from \$20.25 to \$27.61 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$117,756 or \$24.59 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$156,977. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$134,427 or \$28.07 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables that are located within the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 4,002 to 4,185 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 9 to 17 years old and each has a full basement with three having finished area. Each comparable has central air conditioning, from one to three fireplaces, and either a 2-car or a 3.5-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$115,121 to \$138,602 or from \$28.47 to \$33.49 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested that the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted eight suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #1 and #4 due to their dwelling's considerably older ages of 52 and 60 years old, respectively, when compared to the 18-year-old subject dwelling. In addition, the Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #3 as well as the board of review comparables #2 through #4 due to their dissimilar full finished basement when compared to the subject's full unfinished basement.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparable #2 and the board of review comparable #1. These two comparables are most similar to the subject in location, design, age, dwelling size, foundation, and other features. These two comparables have improvement assessments of \$26.52 and \$31.31 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$28.07 per square foot of living area is bracketed by the two best comparables contained in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for

differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. <u>Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett</u>, 20 III.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that the properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity, which exists on the basis of the evidence.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fer	
	Chairman
a R	Sobet Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	November 17, 2020
	Michel 215
	Clerk of the Property Tay Appeal Roard

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Christine Michael, by attorney: George N. Reveliotis Reveliotis Law, P.C. 1030 Higgins Road Suite 101 Park Ridge, IL 60068

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602