



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Jakob Gosciniak
DOCKET NO.: 17-25342.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 28-30-307-005-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Jakob Gosciniak, the appellant, by attorney Scott L. David, of Much Shelist in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds ***no change*** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$4,387
IMPR.: \$12,139
TOTAL: \$16,526

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 1.5-story dwelling of masonry construction with 1,245 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 90 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement and a 2-car garage. The property has an 11,700 square foot site and is located in Tinley Park, Bremen Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the subject's improvement as the basis of the appeal.¹ In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables that are located within the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are 1-story or 1.5-story, class 2-03, dwellings of frame, masonry or frame and

¹ The appellant's original appeal was marked as if overvaluation based on a recent appraisal was being challenged, however, the appellant submitted evidence of improvement assessment inequity based on comparable assessment data.

masonry construction that range in size from 1,260 to 1,418 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 75 to 96 years old and have other features with varying degrees of similarity to the subject. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$7,883 to \$12,329 or from \$6.26 to \$9.04 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence the appellant requested that the subject's total assessment be reduced to \$13,875.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$16,526. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$12,139 or \$9.75 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables that are located within the same neighborhood code as the subject. Comparable #2 is also located on the same block as the subject. The comparables are 1-story or 1.5-story, class 2-03, dwellings of frame construction that range in size from 1,082 to 1,579 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 82 to 103 years old and have other features with varying degrees of similarity to the subject. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$13,715 to \$17,529 or from \$10.36 to \$13.69 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends improvement assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of eight comparable properties for the Board's consideration. The Board finds all of the parties' comparables are similar to the subject in location, building classification, age, size and most features. However, the appellant's comparable #1 lacks a garage, unlike the subject, and the board of review's comparable #2 has a dissimilar 4-car garage. Nevertheless, the parties' comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$7,883 to \$17,529 or from \$6.26 to \$13.69 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$12,139 or \$9.75 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the comparables in the record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is supported. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement is inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: July 20, 2021



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Jakob Gosciniak, by attorney:
Scott L. David
Much Shelist
191 North Wacker Drive
Suite 1800
Chicago, IL 60606-1615

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602