

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Brian Carey

DOCKET NO.: 17-24086.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 02-21-102-005-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Brian Carey, the appellant(s), by attorney Anthony M. Farace, of Amari & Locallo in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$36,799 **IMPR.:** \$13,635 **TOTAL:** \$50,434

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is improved with a 55-year-old, one-story, building of frame and masonry construction containing 2,261 square feet of gross building area. Features of the subject include a full finished basement, central air conditioning, three fireplaces and a two-car garage. The property is situated on 66,908 square feet of land in Inverness, Palatine Township, Cook County. The subject is a Class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The appellant submitted a brief in which he argued a portion of the land was not usable because it is adjacent to Salt Creek.

In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four suggested equity comparable properties, various Sidwell maps and various aerial photographs of the subject site.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$50,434. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$13,635, or \$6.03 per square foot of gross building area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four suggested equity comparable properties.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparable properties to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The appellant's evidence appears to depict the subject property adjacent to Salt Creek. However, the appellant failed to produce evidence this diminishes the subject's market value. The appellant raised only an assessment inequity argument and has not proved its burden by clear and convincing evidence.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparable(s) #1, and the board of review's comparable(s) #1 through #4. These comparable properties were most similar with the subject and had improvement assessments that ranged from \$12.85 to \$18.48¹ per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$6.03 per square foot of gross building area falls below the range established by the best comparable properties in this record. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and holds that a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

_

¹ The appellant calculated \$1.75 per square foot assessment for land and cited this amount in its Grid Analysis. The Board calculates the improvement assessment per square foot because the appellant raised an assessment inequity argument.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

7	1. Fer
	Chairman
a R	Solat Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Swah Bobber
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
CERTIFICATION	
As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.	
Date:	January 19, 2021

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Brian Carey, by attorney: Anthony M. Farace Amari & Locallo 734 North Wells Street Chicago, IL 60654

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602