

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Nancy Marcus
DOCKET NO.: 17-22875.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 05-20-119-029-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Nancy Marcus, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$17,531 **IMPR.:** \$74,942 **TOTAL:** \$92,473

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 1.5-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 3,867 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 67 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, a fireplace, and a two-car garage. The property has a 14,025 square foot site and is located in Winnetka, New Trier Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-04 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant through counsel contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The subject's land assessment was not contested. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables were improved Class 2-04 dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction that range in age from 60 to 63 years old. Each comparable has a full or partial basement with one comparable having finished area, two comparables have central air conditioning, two comparables have one or two fireplaces and each comparable have either a

one-car or two-car garage. The dwellings range in size from 2,378 to 3,011 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments ranging from \$41,165 to \$56,697 or from \$14.91 to \$18.83 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the improvement assessment be reduced to \$66,048 or \$17.08 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$92,473. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$74,942 or \$19.38 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables were improved with one 1-story dwelling and two 1.5-story dwellings of frame or frame and masonry exterior construction that range in size from 3,387 to 4,027 square feet of living area and are 55 or 62 years old. The comparables have either a full or partial basement with two comparables having finished area, each comparable has central air conditioning, one fireplace and a two-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$65,772 to \$81,655 or from \$19.42 to \$20.28 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested that the assessment be confirmed.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted six suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellants comparables #1 and #2 due to the smaller dwelling size when compared to the subject. The Board gave less weight to the board of reviews comparables #1 due to its difference in design when compared to the subject.

The Board finds that the best evidence of assessment equity are the appellants comparable #3 along with the board of reviews comparables #2 and #3. These comparables have varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject in location, design, dwelling size, age, and features. These comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$56,697 and \$76,382 or from \$18.83 and \$19.42 per square foot of living area. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$74,942 or \$19.38 per square foot of living area, which falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21.	hen
Chair	rman
C. R.	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swan Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

## **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	June 8, 2021
	111.10)16
	Mana
	Clark of the Durantee Terr Annual Daniel

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

Nancy Marcus, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

# **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602