



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Dagmar Tryta
DOCKET NO.: 17-22694.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 16-20-426-001-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Dagmar Tryta, the appellant(s), by attorney Abby L. Strauss, of Schiller Law P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **no change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$3,008
IMPR.: \$10,699
TOTAL: \$13,707

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story multi-family masonry dwelling with 2,168 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 99 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement and a 1.5-car garage. The property has a 3,539 square foot site and is located in Cicero, Cicero Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four comparable properties located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables consist of similar class 2-11 properties of masonry exterior construction. The dwellings range in age from approximately 97 to 107 years old and range in size from 1,912 to 2,199 square feet of living area. According to the appellant's grid analysis, two comparable have concrete slab foundations, one comparable

has a crawl space foundation and one comparable has a full basement with an apartment. Two comparables have either a 1.5-car or a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$5,185 to \$7,662 or from \$2.36 to \$3.97 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's total assessment be reduced to \$10,358. The request would lower the subject's improvement assessment to \$7,350 or \$3.39 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$13,707. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$10,699 or \$4.94 per square foot of living area.

In support of its argument the board of review submitted information on four comparable properties located within the same neighborhood code and on the same block as the subject. The comparables are improved with similar class 2-11 multi-family masonry dwellings range in age from approximately 95 to 106 years old and range in size from 2,036 to 2,188 square feet of living area. Each comparable has a full basement, two with finished area, and a 1.5-car to a 2.5-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$11,577 to \$12,672 or from \$5.41 to \$5.86 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as a basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity, and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted eight suggested comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to appellant's comparables and board of review comparables #1 and #2 which differ from the subject in foundation type and/or finish. Further, two of appellant's comparables lack a garage, dissimilar to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of value to be board of review comparables #3 and #4 which are similar to the subject property in location, age, design, size, and most features. These comparables had improvement assessments of \$11,981 and \$11,577 or \$5.86 and \$5.69 per square foot of living area, respectively. The subject's improvement assessment of \$10,699 or \$4.94 per square foot of living area is lower than the best comparables submitted for the Board's consideration. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds that the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that

the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

June 8, 2021



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois

Property Tax Appeal Board

William G. Stratton Building, Room 402

401 South Spring Street

Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Dagmar Tryta, by attorney:

Abby L. Strauss

Schiller Law P.C.

33 North Dearborn

Suite 1130

Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review

County Building, Room 601

118 North Clark Street

Chicago, IL 60602

