



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Karl Dinse  
DOCKET NO.: 17-21092.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 02-01-208-016-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Karl Dinse, the appellant, by Amy C. Floyd, Attorney at Law in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$5,220  
**IMPR.:** \$22,938  
**TOTAL:** \$28,158

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of frame and masonry exterior construction with 1,835 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 37 years old. Features of the home include a partial basement with finished area, central air conditioning, one fireplace, and a two-car garage. The property has a 10,440 square foot site located in Arlington Heights, Palatine Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-04 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code as the subject property.<sup>1</sup> The comparables are improved with similar 2-04 class code dwellings of frame, masonry, or frame and masonry

---

<sup>1</sup> The grid analysis submitted by the appellant was incomplete and did not provide sufficient details to clearly describe the number of fireplaces and the basement and garage areas for each comparable.

exterior construction ranging in size from 1,816 to 2,262 square feet of living area. The comparables range in age from 59 to 67 years old. Three comparables have a basement. Three comparables each have central air conditioning and one fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$13,429 to \$17,711 or from \$7.02 to \$7.83 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the improvement assessment be reduced to \$14,001 or \$7.63 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$28,158. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$22,938 or \$12.50 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located in the same neighborhood as the subject property. Three comparables are improved with one-story dwellings of frame or frame and masonry exterior construction. One comparable has a different class code and is a multi-level building of frame exterior construction. The comparables range in size from 1,092 to 1,976 square feet of living area. The comparables are each 37 years old. Each comparable has a partial basement with finished area, central air conditioning, one fireplace, and a two-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$19,325 to \$25,604 or from \$12.80 to \$17.70 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the assessment be confirmed.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted eight suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave more weight to the board of review's comparables #1, #2 and #4 as they are similar to the subject property in location, design, age, dwelling size, basement and most features. Two of these comparables are identical to the subject property in design, age, dwelling size, basement and most features. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$24,227 to \$25,604 or from \$12.80 to \$13.95 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$22,938 or \$12.50 per square foot of living area falls below the range established by the best comparables in this record. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparables due to their older ages and/or larger dwelling size when compared to the subject property. The Board gave less weight to the board of review's comparable #3 due to its dissimilar design and smaller dwelling size when compared to the subject. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: September 15, 2020



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Karl Dinse, by attorney:  
Amy C. Floyd  
Attorney at Law  
57 E. Delaware  
#3101  
Chicago, IL 60611

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602