

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Paul Majewski
DOCKET NO.: 17-21082.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 02-19-326-017-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Paul Majewski, the appellant, by Amy C. Floyd, Attorney at Law in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$5,511 **IMPR.:** \$20,635 **TOTAL:** \$26,146

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 1-story dwelling of frame and masonry exterior construction with 1,591 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 38 years old and has a crawl space foundation. Features of the home include central air conditioning and a two-car garage. The property has a 9,585 square foot site and is located in Hoffman Estates, Palatine Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables that are located within the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with 1-story or 1.5-story, class 2-03, dwellings of frame or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,578 to 1,870 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 44 to 46 years old, and two comparables have central air

conditioning. The appellant reported "Yes" for three comparables in the fireplace section of the grid analysis. The photographs submitted as part of the appellant's evidence show that the comparables each have a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$16,412 to \$19,582 or from \$8.79 to \$10.92 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the improvement assessment be reduced to \$16,881 or \$10.61 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$26,146. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$20,635 or \$12.97 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables that are located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with 1-story, class 2-03, dwellings of frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,215 to 1,618 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 37 to 40 years old and have partial or full basements, two of which have finished areas. Three of the comparables each have one fireplace. The comparables feature central air conditioning and either a 1-car, a 2-car, or a 2.5-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$22,735 to \$26,989 or from \$14.29 to \$18.77 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested that the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted eight suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board recognizes that the photograph submitted as evidence by the board of review for comparable #4 has a different design than the subject's one-story design. Furthermore, the Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #1 as well as the board of review comparable #4 due to their different designs and significantly smaller or larger dwelling sizes when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables #2 through #4 along with the board of review comparables #1 through #3. When compared to the subject, the appellant's three remaining comparables are older in age, two comparables have larger dwelling sizes, but each comparable is similar in foundation, and only one comparable has central air conditioning, like the subject. The board of review three remaining comparables are similar to the subject in age and dwelling size but each comparable is superior to the subject in

¹ The board of review grid analysis describes comparable #4 as having a one-story dwelling; however, the photograph for this comparables depicts a different design.

foundation which requires a downward adjustment. These six comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$10.40 to \$17.98 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$12.97 per square foot of living area is supported given its newer age and air conditioning features as compared to the appellant's comparables #2 through #4 and is lower than the board of review comparables #1 through #3 due to the subject's lack of a basement. After considering adjustments to both parties' comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fem
	Chairman
C. R.	aster Soffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinie	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	October 20, 2020	
	Mauro M. Glorioso	
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board	

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Paul Majewski, by attorney: Amy C. Floyd Attorney at Law 57 E. Delaware #3101 Chicago, IL 60611

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602