

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Rich Perez

DOCKET NO.: 17-21075.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 02-36-404-036-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Rich Perez, the appellant, by Amy C. Floyd, Attorney at Law in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$2,340 **IMPR.:** \$16,194 **TOTAL:** \$18,534

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

# **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

# **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame or masonry exterior construction with 3,008 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 101 years old. Features of the home include a full finished basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces, and a 2-car garage. The property has an 11,200 square foot site and is located in Barrington, Barrington Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-06 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables that are located within the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of frame exterior construction ranging in size from 2,358 to 3,350 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 64 to 137 years old. In the grid analysis, the appellant's attorney reported "none," partial," or "unfinished"

for each comparable within the basement area, and "yes" for one comparable within the air conditioning section, and "yes" for each comparable in the fireplace section. Furthermore, the appellant's attorney failed to provide any information regarding garages or proximity to the subject within the grid analysis. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$29,100 to \$46,764 or from \$112.34 to \$14.45 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the improvement assessment be reduced to \$40,097 or \$13.33 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$57,208. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$51,888 or \$17.25 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables that are located within the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of masonry or frame exterior construction with 2,262 or 2,643 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 86 to 124 years old, have full unfinished basements, and a 2-car or a 2.5-car garage. Three of the comparables have air conditioning. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$44,413 to \$53,659 or from \$17.59 to \$21.66 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested that the subject's assessment be confirmed.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted eight suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board recognizes, except for the board of review comparable #1, the remaining comparables have considerable differences in age and/or dwelling size when compared to the subject. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables as the appellant's attorney failed to provide descriptive property characteristics for each comparable within their grid analysis, such as garages, which detracts from the weight of the evidence. When compared to the subject, the Board also gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #1 since it lacks a basement in contrast to the subject's full finished basement and the board of review comparable #3 with its significantly smaller dwelling size in contrast to the other comparables.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the board of review comparables #1, #2 and #4. These comparables, though smaller in dwelling size, are most similar to the subject in location and other property characteristics. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$44,413 to \$53,659 or from \$17.59 to \$21.66 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$51,888 or \$17.25 per square foot of living area is below the range of the best comparables contained in this record on a per-square-foot basis

which appears to be reasonable considering the subject's larger dwelling size. Accepted real estate valuation theory provides that all factors being equal, as the size of the property increases, the per unit value decreases. In contrast, as the size of a property decreases, the per unit value increases. After considering adjustments to the comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fem
	Chairman
CAR STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE P	Sobet Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

## **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	October 20, 2020	
	Mauro M. Glorioso	
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board	—

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

Rich Perez, by attorney: Amy C. Floyd Attorney at Law 57 E. Delaware #3101 Chicago, IL 60611

## **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602