

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Zave Domsky
DOCKET NO.: 17-20176.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 10-36-111-006-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Zave Domsky, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$4,997 IMPR.: \$33,989 TOTAL: \$38,986

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story multi-family dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 3,026 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 89 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement and a two-car garage. The property has a 3,844 square foot site and is located in Chicago, Rogers Park Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends improvement assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with two-story or three-story multi-family dwellings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 3,517 to 3,903 square feet of living area. The comparables are either 90 or 92 years old. Each

comparable has a full basement with two finished with apartments. Each comparable has a two-car or a three-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$35,064 to \$36,775 or from \$9.14 to \$9.97 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the improvement assessment be reduced to \$29,322 or \$9.69 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$38,986. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$33,989 or \$11.23 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables that are located within the same neighborhood code, block, and/or street as the subject property. The comparables are improved with two-story multi-family dwellings of masonry exterior construction containing either 2,752 or 3,026 square feet of living area. Each comparable is 89 years old. Each comparable has a full unfinished basement and a two-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments of \$31,584 and \$33,989 or \$11.48 and \$11.23 per square foot of living area, respectively. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the assessment be confirmed.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted seven suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave more weight to the board of review's comparables which are located within the same neighborhood code, block and/or street as the subject property. In addition, these comparables were identical to the subject in design, exterior construction, age, foundation and most features. Three of these comparables are also identical to the subject's dwelling size. These comparables have improvement assessments of \$31,584 and \$33,989 or \$11.48 and \$11.23 per square foot of living area, respectively. The subject's improvement assessment of \$33,989 or \$11.23 per square foot of living area is the same as the three identical comparables contained in this record and appears to be well-supported by the board of review's comparables. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparables due to their larger dwelling sizes and/or dissimilar design when compared to the subject. In addition, two of the appellant's comparables have a basement finished with an apartment in contrast to the subject's unfinished basement. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

	Chairman
21. Fem	C R
Member	Member
solvet Soffen	Dan De Kinin
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
DISSENTING.	
<u>CERTI</u>	FICATION
As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do	

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	February 18, 2020	
	Maus Illorios	
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board	

### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

### **APPELLANT**

Zave Domsky, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

### **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602