

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Parviz Boromand DOCKET NO.: 17-05632.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 09-18-403-003

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Parviz Boromand, the appellant, by attorney Brian S. Maher, of Weis, DuBrock, Doody & Maher, in Chicago, and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **DuPage** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$32,200 **IMPR.:** \$35,740 **TOTAL:** \$67,940

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the DuPage County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property is improved with a one-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 896 square feet of living area. The dwelling<sup>1</sup> was constructed in 1943 with an addition constructed in 1968. Features of the dwelling include a partial unfinished basement, a fireplace and a 400 square foot garage. The property has a 7,500 square foot site and is located in Downers Grove, Downers Grove Township, DuPage County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted limited information on three equity comparables, reporting that two comparables share the same neighborhood code assigned by the assessor as the subject property. The comparables consist of one-story dwellings of frame

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Descriptive data concerning the subject and the appellant's comparables was drawn in part from the data and property record cards submitted by the board of review.

exterior construction that were built from 1924 to 1950. Comparables #1 and #2 had reported effective ages of 1980 and 1994, respectively. The dwellings range in size from 1,246 to 1,608 square feet of living area. Features include full or partial unfinished basements and comparables #1 and #2 have garages of 336 and 360 square feet of building area, respectively. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$31,630 to \$56,820 or from \$25.16 to \$35.33 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the improvement assessment of the subject be reduced to \$27,776 or \$31.00 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$67,940. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$35,740 or \$39.88 per square foot of living area.

In response to the appellant's evidence, the board of review submitted data prepared by the Downers Grove Township Assessor's Office. The assessor noted that each of the appellant's comparable dwellings are larger than the subject and based on the economy of scale, present lower assessments on a per-square-foot basis.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review through the township assessor submitted information on five equity comparables located within the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with one-story dwellings of frame exterior construction that were constructed between 1948 and 1957. The dwellings range in size from 656 to 922 square feet of living area and feature full unfinished basements. Each comparable has a garage ranging in size from 280 to 672 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$28,750 to \$41,480 or from \$36.86 to \$44.99 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested that the subject's improvement assessment be affirmed.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of eight suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparables as each has significantly more living area square footage and/or a larger basement when compared to the subject. The Board gave less weight to appellant's comparable #3 due to having a different design compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the board of review comparables which were similar to the subject in location, size and some features. The comparables are superior to the subject in basement size and are older than the subject dwelling in terms of

effective age. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$28,750 to \$41,480 or from \$36.86 to \$44.99 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$35,740 or \$39.88 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

	21. Fe-
	Chairman
al R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinie	Sarah Boldey
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	<u>CERTIFICATION</u>

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	July 21, 2020	
	Mauro Illorias	
	, pears / lours	
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board	

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

# **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

# **APPELLANT**

Parviz Boromand, by attorney: Brian S. Maher Weis, DuBrock, Doody & Maher 1 North LaSalle Street Suite 1500 Chicago, IL 60602-3992

# **COUNTY**

DuPage County Board of Review DuPage Center 421 N. County Farm Road Wheaton, IL 60187