



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Meng Jia Hu  
DOCKET NO.: 17-03802.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 15-24-308-006

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Meng Jia Hu, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds No Change in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$66,547  
**IMPR.:** \$169,776  
**TOTAL:** \$236,323

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 3174 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1987. Features of the home include a partial basement with finished area, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 483 square foot attached garage. The property has a 20,473 square foot site and is located in Lincolnshire, Vernon Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three comparable sales located within 0.32 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables have sites that range in size from 20,473 to 24,394 square feet of land area and are improved with two-story dwellings of wood siding exterior construction that range in size from 3,069 to 3,620 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 1984 to 1987. Each comparable has a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, one

fireplace and an attached garage that range in size from 541 to 648 square feet of building area. One comparable has an enclosed porch feature. The comparables sold from September 2015 to November 2016 for prices that range from \$615,000 to \$740,000 or from \$194.29 to \$204.42 per square foot of living area, land included. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's assessment be reduced to \$211,282.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$236,323. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$712,890 or \$224.60 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2017 three year average median level of assessment for Lake County of 33.15% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales located within approximately 0.47 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables have sites that range in size from 20,038 to 44,575 square feet of land area and are improved with two-story dwellings of brick or wood siding exterior construction that range in size from 3,048 to 3,620 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 1985 to 1990. Each comparable has a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a garage that range in size from 576 to 815 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from June 2016 to April 2017 for prices that range from \$690,000 to \$795,000 or from \$194.64 to \$234.38 per square foot of living area, land included. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted six comparables for the Board's consideration where the appellant's comparable #3 is the same sale as the board of review comparable #2. The Board gives least weight to the appellant's comparable #1 due to a 2015 sale date which is less indicative of the subject's fair market value as of the January 1, 2017 assessment date. The Board also gives little weight to the common comparable and board of review comparable #3 for differences in dwelling or site size when compared to the subject. The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be appellant's comparable #2 along with board of review comparables #1 and #4 which are more similar in site size, dwelling size and most features when compared to the subject. These most similar comparables sold from June to November 2016 for prices ranging from \$640,000 to \$795,000 or from \$194.29 to \$234.38 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$712,890 or \$224.60 per square foot of living area, including land, which falls within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences with the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: June 16, 2020



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Meng Jia Hu, by attorney:  
Robert Rosenfeld  
Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC  
33 North Dearborn Street  
Suite 1850  
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review  
Lake County Courthouse  
18 North County Street, 7th Floor  
Waukegan, IL 60085