

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Lester & Veronica Rieck

DOCKET NO.: 17-03785.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 01-28-301-165

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Lester & Veronica Rieck, the appellants, by attorney Jessica Hill-Magiera in Lake Zurich; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$3,544 **IMPR.:** \$61,496 **TOTAL:** \$65,040

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellants timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 1,308 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2004. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 441 square foot garage. The property has a 7,048 square foot site and is located in Fox Lake, Antioch Township, Lake County.

The appellants contend overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellants submitted information on six comparable sales located within 0.51 of a mile from the subject property. Comparables #3 through #6 have sites that range in size from 4,047 to 8,219 square feet of land area; no site size was provided for comparables #1 and #2. The comparable sites are improved with one-story dwellings of wood siding exterior construction that range in size from 1,172 to 1,491 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 2002 to 2008.

Each comparable has an unfinished basement, central air conditioning and a garage ranging in size from 378 to 462 square feet of building area. Four comparables have one fireplace each. The comparables sold from July to November 2016 for prices ranging from \$148,000 to \$207,000 or from \$126.28 to \$152.91 per square foot of living area, land included. Based on this evidence, the appellants requested the subject's assessment be reduced to \$59,087.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$68,661. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$207,122 or \$158.35 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2017 three year average median level of assessment for Lake County of 33.15% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales located within 0.36 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables have sites that range in size from 4,792 to 18,874 square feet of land area and are improved with one-story dwellings of wood siding exterior construction that have either 1,107 or 1,308 square feet of living area. The homes were built in 2001 or 2005. Each comparable has a basement, three with finished area, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a garage with 441 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from August 2015 to July 2017 for prices ranging from \$182,000 to \$229,000 or from \$152.91 to \$175.08 per square foot of living area, land included. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

In rebuttal, the appellants' counsel asserted board of review comparables #1 and #2 with 2015 sale dates to be too remote in time to establish market value as of the subject's January 1, 2017 assessment date. Appellants' counsel also asserted that board of review comparable #3 was too small to be a comparable property and that comparable #4 was an acceptable sale.

Conclusion of Law

The appellants contend the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellants met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted ten comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives little weight to the appellants' comparable #3 which appears to be an outlier based on sale price when compared to other comparable sales in this record. The Board also gives reduced weight to the appellants' comparables #4 and #6 which differ from the subject in dwelling size. The Board gives less weight to the board of review comparables #1 and #2 which sold in 2015, too remote in time to be indicative of estimated market value as of the subject's January 1, 2017 assessment date. Additionally, board of review comparables #3 and #4 are given reduced weight due to finished basement, compared to the subject's unfinished basement. Board of review comparable #4 also has a larger site size compared to the subject. The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be appellants' comparables #1, #2 and #5 which are most like the subject in

terms of location, age, design, dwelling size, unfinished basement and most features. These most similar comparables sold from August to November 2016 for prices ranging from \$170,000 to \$200,000 or from \$129.97 to \$152.91 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$207,122 or \$158.35 per square foot of living area, including land, which is above the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences with the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fe
	Chairman
C. R.	assert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bobbler
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	ICATION
CERTIT	10111011
As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal B	oard and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	July 21, 2020	
	Mauro Illorias	
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board	

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Lester & Veronica Rieck, by attorney: Jessica Hill-Magiera Attorney at Law 790 Harvest Drive Lake Zurich, IL 60047

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085