

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Deborah Budnik DOCKET NO.: 17-03629.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 16-15-103-010

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Deborah Budnik, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *no change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$79,247 **IMPR.:** \$108,833 **TOTAL:** \$188,080

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 2,815 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1956 but has an effective age of 1975 due to an addition and updating in 2001. Features of the home include a 2,151 square foot basement with 1,042 square feet of finished area, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 440 square foot garage. The property has a 16,137 square foot site and is located in Highland Park, Moraine Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables located in the same neighborhood as the subject property. The comparables consist of one-story dwellings of brick exterior construction ranging in size from 2,022 to 2,737 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed in either 1955 or 1956 and have

effective ages ranging from 1961 to 1968. The comparables each feature a basement ranging in size from 663 to 912 square feet with two having 464 or 607 square feet of finished area, central air conditioning and a garage ranging in size from 360 to 552 square feet of building area. In addition, one comparable has a fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$65,846 to \$87,829 or from \$32.09 to \$33.31 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$188,080. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$108,333 or \$38.66 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located in the same neighborhood as the subject property. The comparables consist of one-story dwellings of brick or wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,132 to 2,831 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1954 to 1984. Comparables #1 and #4 have effective ages of 1974 and 1968, respectively. The comparables each feature a basement ranging in size from 1,416 to 2,398 square feet with two having 634 or 1,416 square feet of finished area, central air conditioning and a garage ranging in size from 345 to 450 square feet of building area. In addition, three comparables each have one fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$80,429 to \$110,806 or from \$35.24 to \$39.14 per square foot of living area. The board of review provided property record cards of the subject and its comparables. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested that the subject's assessment be sustained.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted seven suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparables #2 and #3, along with board of review comparables #2 through #4 which differ from the subject in dwelling size, age and/or lack finished basement area.

The Board finds the appellant's comparable #1 and board of review comparable #1 are similar to the subject in location, dwelling size, design, age and features. However, more weight was given to board of review comparable #1 which is most similar to the subject in age/effective age and dwelling size, though it has a slightly larger basement with more finished area. The comparables have improvement assessments of \$32.09 and \$39.14 per square foot of living area. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$38.66 per square foot of living area, which falls between the two best comparables in the record. Based on this record the Board finds the

appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment based on inequity is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

	21. Fen
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
	CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	July 21, 2020
	Mauro Illorioso
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Deborah Budnik, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085