

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	James Dobbs
DOCKET NO .:	17-03586.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	14-33-302-034

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are James Dobbs, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$55,562
IMPR.:	\$184,158
TOTAL:	\$239,720

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 3,555 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1998. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces and an attached garage with 774 square feet of building area. The property has a 146,024 square foot site and is located in Deer Park, Ela Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables improved with two-story dwellings with wood siding or brick exteriors that range in size from 3,138 to 4,078 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built in 1989 and 1990. Each home has an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one or three fireplaces and an attached garage ranging in size from 840 to 1,086 square feet of building area. These properties

have improvement assessments ranging from \$146,533 to \$190,255 or from \$35.93 to \$47.22 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$154,488.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$239,720. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$184,158 or \$51.80 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on six equity comparables improved with two-story dwellings with wood siding or brick exteriors that range in size from 3,530 to 3,687 square feet of living area.<sup>1</sup> The homes were built from 1985 to 1996. Each comparable has an unfinished basement, one fireplace and a garage ranging in size from 616 to 1,261 square feet of building area. Five comparables have a central air conditioning. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$180,865 to \$199,760 or from \$51.24 to \$55.00 per square foot of living area. The board of review requested the assessment be sustained.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the comparables submitted by the board of review with the exception of comparable #2, PIN 14-33-300-009, as this property was as similar to the subject in age as the best comparables and had no central air conditioning whereas the subject property has central air conditioning. The remaining comparables submitted by the board of review are most similar to the subject dwelling in size and features. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$180,865 to \$199,760 or from \$51.24 to \$55.00 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$184,158 or \$51.80 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Less weight is given the comparables provided by the appellant due to differences from the subject dwelling in size. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The board of review submission included a duplicate comparable associated with PIN 14-33-304-005.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fer

	Chairman
CLR	hover Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikinia	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

## CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

June 16, 2020

Mano Morios

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

## AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## APPELLANT

James Dobbs, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

### COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085