

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Dianne Viti

DOCKET NO.: 17-03528.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 16-14-305-018

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Dianne Viti, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC, in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 41,560 **IMPR.:** \$ 71,161 **TOTAL:** \$112,721

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction that has 1,907 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1938. Features include an unfinished basement, two fireplaces and a 225 square foot garage. The subject property is located in Moriane Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The subject's land assessment was not challenged. In support of the inequity claim, the appellant submitted a grid analysis of three assessment comparables located within .25 of a mile from the subject. The comparables consists of two-story dwellings of wood siding exterior construction that were built in 1920. The comparables have unfinished basements; two comparable have a fireplace; and each comparable has a garage that range in size from 187 to 440 square feet of building area. The dwellings range in size from 1,768 to 1,962 square feet of living area. The comparables

have improvement assessments ranging from \$55,531 to \$57,904 or from \$29.51 to \$32.54 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the subject's final assessment of \$112,721. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$71,161 or \$37.32 per square foot of living area. In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted three assessment comparables located within .366 of a mile from the subject. The evidence was prepared by the township assessor. The comparables consists of two-story dwellings of brick or wood siding exterior construction that were built from 1926 to 1940. Two comparables have unfinished basements and one comparable has a partial finished basement. Two comparables have central air conditioning a garage with 704 and 360 square feet of building area, respectively. The dwellings range in size from 1,782 to 2,028 square feet of living area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$71,280 to \$84,931 or from \$35.17 to \$42.47 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer argued assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof.

The record contains six assessment comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the comparables submitted by the appellant due to their older age when compared to the subject. The Board gave less weight to comparable #3 submitted by the board of review due to its older age and smaller basement with finished area when compared to the subject. The Board finds comparables #1 and #2 submitted by the board of review are more similar when compared to the subject in location, design, age, dwelling size and features. These comparables have improvement assessments of \$71,333 and \$84,931 or \$35.17 and \$42.47 per square foot of living area. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$71,161 or \$37.32 per square foot of living area, which is less than the two most similar comparables on an overall basis and between these most similar assessment comparables on a per square foot basis. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is supported. Therefore, no reduction in the subject's improvement assessment is warranted.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. The requirement is satisfied if the intent is evident to adjust the burden with a reasonable degree of uniformity and if such is the effect of the statute enacted by the General Assembly establishing the method of assessing real property in its general operation. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20

Ill.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fem
	Chairman
R	assert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Schler
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
<u>C E R T</u>	<u> </u>
As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appe	al Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	te: July 21, 2020	
	Mauro Illorios	
_	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board	

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Dianne Viti, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085