



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Tracy Boron
DOCKET NO.: 17-03339.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 16-27-404-015

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Tracy Boron, the appellant, by attorney Steven Kandelman of Rieff Schramm Kanter & Guttman, in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds No Change in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 47,951
IMPR.: \$183,673
TOTAL: \$231,624

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction that has 2,974 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2005. Features include a partial finished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 428 square foot attached garage. The subject property is located in Moraine Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The subject's land assessment was not challenged. In support of the inequity claim, the appellant submitted an analysis of seven assessment comparables located within .81 of a mile from the subject. The comparables consists of two-story dwellings of brick or wood siding exterior construction that were built from 2001 to 2008. Three comparables have a partial finished basement and four comparables have an unfinished basement. The comparables have central air conditioning; six comparables have one or two fireplace; and each comparable has a garage that range in size from

440 to 504 square feet of building area. The dwellings range in size from 2,688 to 3,354 square feet of living area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$152,168 to \$179,595 or from \$52.53 to \$57.67 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the subject's final assessment of \$231,624. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$183,673 or \$61.76 per square foot of living area. In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted five assessment comparables located within .84 of a mile from the subject. The comparables consists of two-story dwellings of brick or wood siding exterior construction that were built from 1976 to 2005. The comparables have partial finished basements, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and each comparable has a garage that range in size from 420 to 884 square feet of building area. The dwellings range in size from 2,755 to 3,404 square feet of living area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$155,157 to \$211,663 or from \$54.59 to \$64.45 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer argued assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof.

The record contains 12 assessment comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to comparables #1, #3, #4 and #7 submitted by the appellant due to their inferior unfinished basements when compared to the subject. The Board gave less weight to comparables #4 and #5 submitted by the board of review. Comparable #4 is larger in dwelling size and comparable #5 is older in age when compared to the subject. The Board finds the remaining five comparables are more similar when compared to the subject in location, design, age, dwelling size and features. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$152,168 to \$194,243 or from \$52.53 to \$64,45 per square foot of living area. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$183,673 or \$61.76 per square foot of living area, which falls within the range established by the most similar assessment comparables contained in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is justified. Therefore, no reduction in the subject's improvement assessment is warranted.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. The requirement is satisfied if the intent is evident to adjust the burden with a reasonable degree of uniformity and if such is the effect of the statute enacted by the General Assembly establishing the method of assessing real property in its general operation. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20

Ill.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: July 21, 2020



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Tracy Boron, by attorney:
Steven Kandelman
Rieff Schramm Kanter & Guttman
100 North LaSalle Street
Suite 2300
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review
Lake County Courthouse
18 North County Street, 7th Floor
Waukegan, IL 60085