



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Bruce Kinon  
DOCKET NO.: 17-03196.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 16-32-213-002

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Bruce Kinon, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds No Change in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$46,250  
**IMPR.:** \$257,105  
**TOTAL:** \$303,355

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 3,224 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2014. Features of the home include an unfinished full basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 460 square foot attached garage. The property is located in Deerfield, West Deerfield Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The subject's land assessment was not challenged. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code assigned by the township assessor as the subject property. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of wood siding exterior construction that range in size from 3,090 to 3,340 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 2006 to 2015. Each comparable has a basement, two with finished area, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a garage with 420 or 440 square feet of

building area. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$214,330 to \$253,126 or from \$69.36 to \$75.79 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$230,870 or \$71.61 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$303,355. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$257,105 or \$79.75 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on eight equity comparables located within approximately 0.81 of a mile from the subject. Four of the comparables are located in the same neighborhood code as assigned by the township assessor as the subject and four comparables are located in a different neighborhood code. Board of review comparable #2 is the same property as the appellant's comparable #2. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of brick or wood siding exterior construction that range in size from 3,099 to 3,401 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 2010 to 2016. Each comparable has a basement, two with finished area, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 440 to 677 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$239,560 to \$276,146 or from \$75.79 to \$83.55 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted ten suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration where one comparable was common to both parties. The Board gives less weight to appellant's comparables #1 and #3 due to their finished basement unlike the subject. The Board also gave less weight to the board of review comparables #5 through #8 which are located outside of the subject's neighborhood code as defined by the local assessor. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the common comparable and board of review comparables #1, #3 and #4 which are more similar in location, design, age, dwelling size, unfinished basement and most features when compared to the subject. These comparables have improvement assessments that ranged from \$239,560 to \$276,146 or from \$75.79 to \$81.20 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$257,105 or \$79.75 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: July 21, 2020



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Bruce Kinon, by attorney:  
Robert Rosenfeld  
Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC  
33 North Dearborn Street  
Suite 1850  
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review  
Lake County Courthouse  
18 North County Street, 7th Floor  
Waukegan, IL 60085