

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Ronald Marrese
DOCKET NO.:	17-03176.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	15-23-301-063

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Ronald Marrese, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>No Change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the Lake County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$40,621
IMPR.:	\$120,478
TOTAL:	\$161,099

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 3,108 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1995. Features of the home include a concrete slab foundation, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 400 square foot attached garage.<sup>1</sup> The property has a 9,583 square foot site and is located in Lincolnshire, Vernon Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The subject's land assessment was not challenged. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables located in the subject's neighborhood code as assigned by the township assessor. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of brick exterior

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Foundation construction details for the subject and the appellant's comparables were obtained from property sketches submitted by appellant.

construction that range in size from 3,058 to 3,502 square feet of living area. The homes were constructed from 1992 to 1995. Each comparable has a concrete slab foundation, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and a garage with 441 or 462 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$94,923 to \$126,849 or from \$29.54 to \$36.22 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$103,133 or \$33.18 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$161,099. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$120,478 or \$38.76 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables located in the subject's neighborhood code as assigned by the township assessor. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of brick exterior construction that range in size from 2,880 to 3,072 square feet of living area. The homes were built in 1995 or 1996. Each comparable has a concrete slab foundation, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a garage with 400 or 480 square feet of building area.<sup>2</sup> The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$118,471 to \$120,585 or from \$39.25 to \$41.50 per square foot of living area.

The board of review comparable grid included comments regarding effective age of appellant's comparables #2 and #3 and stated appellant comparable #3 has larger above grade living area than the subject. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted six suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board also gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #3 due to its larger dwelling size and slightly older age when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparable #1 and #2 along with the board of review comparables. These five comparables are similar to the subject in location, foundation type, dwelling size, design, age and most features. These comparables had

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Foundation construction details for board of review comparables were obtained from property sketches included in the board of review submission.

improvement assessments that ranged from \$94,923 to \$120,585 or from \$29.54 to \$41.50 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$120,478 or \$38.76 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fer

	Chairman
CAR	hover Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

## CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

July 21, 2020

Mano Morios

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

## AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## APPELLANT

Ronald Marrese, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

### COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085