

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: KRF, LLC

DOCKET NO.: 17-03138.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 16-25-406-001

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are KRF, LLC, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$248,528 **IMPR.:** \$241,732 **TOTAL:** \$490,260

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 5,299 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1974. Features of the home include a partial unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a 696 square foot attached garage. The property has a 52,792 square foot site and is located in Highland Park, Moraine Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables located within .53 of a mile from the subject. The comparables are described as one-story dwellings of brick or wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 3,687 to 6,479 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1963 to 1969. Each comparable has a partial unfinished basement, central air conditioning and a garage ranging in size from 529

to 875 square feet of building area. Two comparables each have one or two fireplaces. Comparable #1 has a 578 square foot inground swimming pool. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$154,779 to \$276,394 or from \$40.26 to \$42.66 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$490,260. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$241,732 or \$45.62 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located within .534 of a mile from the subject. The comparables are described as one-story dwellings of brick or wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 4,454 to 6,479 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed from 1963 to 1997. Each comparable has a basement with two having finished area, central air conditioning and a garage ranging in size from 624 to 875 square feet of building area. Three comparables each have one or two fireplaces. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$228,371 to \$276,394 or from \$40.26 to \$55.82 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted five equity comparables for the Board's consideration which includes two comparables common to both parties. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparable #2 due to its dissimilar dwelling size. Reduced weight was given to board of review comparables #3 and #4 as each have finished basement area unlike the subject's unfinished basement and one is a considerably newer dwelling when compared to the subject. The Board gave more weight to the parties' two common comparables as they are more similar to the subject in location, design and some features. However, the Board recognizes adjustments to the comparables would have to be considered for differences in age, dwelling size and features such as basement areas and inground swimming pools. These comparables have improvement assessments of \$228,371 and \$276,394 or \$40.26 and \$42.66 per square foot of living area. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$241,732 or \$45.62 per square foot of living area which falls above the two best comparable sales in the record on a per square foot basis but justified when considering economies of scale, accepted real estate valuation theory provides, all

¹ Board of review comparables #1 and #2 are the same properties as appellant's comparables #1 and #3.

other factors being equal, as the size of a property increases, its per unit value decreases. Likewise, as the size of a property decreases, its per unit value increases. Therefore, due to the subject's smaller size and considering other adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is supported. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and no reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

22	1. Fer
	Chairman
C. R.	Solut Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Sarah Boldey
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
<u>CERTIFI</u>	<u>CATION</u>
As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Bo	

hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this

said office.

Date:	ate: July 21, 2020	
	Mauro Illorias	
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board	

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

KRF, LLC, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085