

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Hemang Mehta
DOCKET NO .:	17-03050.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	12-32-202-007

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Hemang Mehta, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>No Change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the Lake County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$373,720
IMPR.:	\$257,355
TOTAL:	\$631,075

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 5,858 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1970. Features of the home include a full basement with finished area, central air conditioning, four fireplaces, a 1,000 square foot inground swimming pool and a 925 square foot attached garage. The property has a 60,113 square foot site and is located in Lake Forest, Shields Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables located within .91 of a mile from the subject. The comparables are described as two-story dwellings of brick exterior construction ranging in size from 4,985 to 6,758 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1962 to 1979. Each comparable has a basement with one having finished area; central air conditioning; three or five fireplaces and a garage

ranging in size from 650 to 702 square feet of building area. Comparables #1 and #2 have inground swimming pools with 924 and 800 square feet, respectively. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$111,450 to \$254,184 or from \$22.22 to \$37.61 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$631,075. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$257,355 or \$43.93 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located from .321 of a mile to 1.063 miles from the subject. The comparables consist of 1.75-story and 2-story dwellings of wood siding or brick exterior construction ranging in size from 5,611 to 6,117 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed from 1954 to 1986. Features of each comparable include a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, two to five fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 720 to 1,308 square feet of building area. Comparable #3 has a 594 square foot inground swimming pool. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$242,969 to \$338,583 or from \$43.30 to \$59.82 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted seven equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparables due to their dissimilar dwelling sizes when compared to the subject. The Board also gave less weight to board of review comparables #2 and #4 due to their distant locations from the subject property. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be board of review comparables #1 and #3 as they are similar to the subject in location, design, age, dwelling size and features except for both comparables have more finished basement area and one comparable lacks an inground swimming pool. These two comparables have improvement assessments of \$242,969 and \$315,550 or for \$43.30 and \$51.59 per square foot of living area. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$257,355 or \$43.93 per square foot of living area, which falls between the two best comparables in the record both on overall value and price per square foot basis. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is supported and therefore, no reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fer

	Chairman
CLR	hover Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikinia	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

June 16, 2020

Mano Morios

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Hemang Mehta, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085