



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Stephanie Meis
DOCKET NO.: 17-03020.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 16-26-212-004

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Stephanie Meis, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds No Change in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$73,728
IMPR.: \$116,005
TOTAL: \$189,733

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 2,372 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1924 and has an effective age of 1934. Features of the home include a partial basement with finished area, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 528 square foot attached garage. The property has an 8,250 square foot site and is located in Highland Park, Moraine Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables located within .26 of a mile from the subject. The comparables are described as two-story dwellings of brick or wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,348 to 2,514 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1900 to 1923. Each comparable has a partial or a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a detached

garage ranging in size from 294 to 792 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$74,852 to \$94,969 or from \$29.77 to \$38.61 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$189,733. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$116,005 or \$48.91 per square foot of living area.

In response to the appellant's evidence, the board of review argued appellant's comparables have unfinished basements unlike the subject.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on six equity comparables located within .398 of a mile from the subject. The comparables consist of two-story dwellings of wood siding, brick or wood siding and brick exterior construction ranging in size from 2,100 to 2,715 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed from 1915 to 1929. Five comparables have effective ages ranging from 1927 to 1949. Each comparable has an unfinished basement, with two having finished area and one or two fireplaces. Five comparables have central air conditioning and four comparables each have a garage ranging in size from 400 to 480 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$99,901 to \$136,076 or from \$47.50 to \$51.47 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted nine equity comparables with varying degrees of similarity to the subject in location, age, dwelling size, design and features for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparables along with the board of review comparables #1, #2, #3 and #5 due to their unfinished basements and/or lack of a garage feature when compared to the subject. The Board gave more weight to board of review comparables #4 and #6 as they have similar features such as finished basement area when compared to the subject. These two comparables have improvement assessments of \$132,895 or \$123,832 or for \$51.47 and \$47.50 per square foot of living area. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$116,005 or \$48.91 per square foot of living area which is supported by the two best comparable sales in the record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for any differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is supported. Based on this

record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and no reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member




Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: June 16, 2020



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Stephanie Meis, by attorney:
Robert Rosenfeld
Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC
33 North Dearborn Street
Suite 1850
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review
Lake County Courthouse
18 North County Street, 7th Floor
Waukegan, IL 60085