

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Anand Dandapani DOCKET NO.: 17-02480.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 15-17-414-010

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Anand Dandapani, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Lake Forest; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *no change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$42,975 **IMPR.:** \$174,316 **TOTAL:** \$217,291

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 4,174 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1991. Features of the home include a full basement with finished area, central air conditioning, two fireplaces and a 775 square foot garage. The property has a 15,000 square foot site and is located in Buffalo Grove, Vernon Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four comparable sales located within .27 of a mile of the subject property. The comparables have sites ranging in size from 15,000 to 17,090 square feet of land area. The comparables consist of two-story dwellings of brick or wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 4,020 to 4,246 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed from 1990 to 1992. The comparables each feature a basement with three having

finished area, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a garage ranging in size from 704 to 800 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from February 2016 to April 2017 for prices ranging from \$525,000 to \$675,000 or from \$125.78 to \$161.72 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$217,291. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$655,478 or \$157.04 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2017 three-year average median level of assessment for Lake County of 33.15% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on eight comparable sales located within .49 of a mile of the subject property. Board of review comparables #2, #3 and #4 were also used by the appellant. The comparables have sites ranging in size from 15,000 to 20,829 square feet of land area. The comparables consist of two-story dwellings of brick or wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 3,809 to 4,246 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed from 1989 to 1999. Each comparable features a basement with seven having finished area, central air conditioning and a garage ranging in size from 703 to 894 square feet of building area. In addition, seven comparables each have one fireplace and one comparable has a 648 square foot inground swimming pool. The comparables sold from February 2016 to October 2018 for prices ranging from \$597,500 to \$725,000 or from \$148.63 to \$179.54 per square foot of living area, including land. The board of review provided property record cards for the subject and each of its comparables. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted nine suggested comparables sales for the Board's consideration with three comparables being utilized by both parties. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparable #1 and board of review comparable #7 as each lack basement finish which differs from the subject. The Board finds board of review comparables #5 and #8 have sale dates occurring in 2018 which are less proximate in time to the assessment date at issue, thus less likely to be indicative of the subject's market value as of January 1, 2017. Furthermore, board of review comparable #8 has an inground swimming pool unlike the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the five remaining comparable sales submitted by the parties, which includes the three common comparables. These comparables are similar to the subject in location, dwelling size, design, age and features. They sold from February 2016 to June 2017 for prices ranging from \$597,500 to \$725,000 or from \$148.63 to

\$179.54 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$655,478 or \$157.04 per square foot of living area, including land, which falls within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparable sales for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the estimated market value as reflected by the assessment is supported and no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate by a preponderance of the evidence that the subject was overvalued and no reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

said office.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21.	he
Chairm	nan
	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Sarah Boldey
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
CERTIFICATION	
As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this	

Date: June 16, 2020

Mano Illorias

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Anand Dandapani, by attorney: Ronald Kingsley Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC 13975 W. Polo Trail Drive #201 Lake Forest, IL 60045

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085