

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Kandarp Mehta DOCKET NO.: 17-02306.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 14-22-302-057

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Kandarp Mehta, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley, of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Lake Forest; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$28,503 **IMPR.:** \$120,343 **TOTAL:** \$148,846

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 2,276 square feet of living area. The dwelling was built in 1991. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a 400 square foot attached garage. The subject property has a 10,053 square foot site and is located in Lake Zurich, Ela Township, Lake County, Illinois.

The appellant submitted evidence before the Property Tax Appeal Board claiming overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on six comparable sales located within the same neighborhood and within .58 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are situated on sites ranging in size from 9,971 to 15,570 square feet of land area and are improved with two-story dwellings of wood siding exterior construction that were built from 1989 to 1993. The dwellings range in size from 2,292 to 2,788

square feet of living area. Each comparable has a partial or a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a garage ranging in size from 420 to 462 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from June 2016 to March 2017 for prices ranging from \$375,000 to \$472,500 or from \$160.15 to \$173.69 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$148,846. The subject's assessment reflects an estimated market value of \$449,008 or \$197.28 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the 2017 three-year average median level of assessment for Lake County of 33.15%.

In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted information on eight comparable sales located within .405 of a mile from the subject. The comparables are situated on sites ranging in size from 10,013 to 12,158 square feet of land area and are improved with two-story dwellings of wood siding exterior construction that were built from 1989 to 1991. The dwellings range in size from 2,250 to 2,492 square feet of living area. The comparables each have a partial or full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a garage with either 400 or 462 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from February 2016 to July 2018 for prices ranging from \$409,000 to \$482,500 or from \$176.29 to \$211.11 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted 14 comparable sales for the Board's consideration, all of which are located within the subject neighborhood. However, the Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparables #1, #4 and #6 due to their larger dwelling sizes when compared to the subject. The Board also gave less weight to the board of review comparables #5 and #8 as they both sold in 2018, not as proximate in time to the January 1, 2017 assessment date as the other sales in the record. The Board finds the remaining comparables are more similar to the subject in dwelling size, design, age and features and sold proximate in time to the assessment date at issue. These comparables sold from June 2016 to March 2017 for prices ranging from \$375,000 to \$482,500 or from \$163.61 to \$211.11 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects an estimated market value \$449,008 or \$197.28 per square foot of living area, including land, which falls within the range established by the best comparables in the record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's estimated market value as reflected by its assessment is Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant failed to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the subject was overvalued. Therefore, a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21.7	te	
Chairman		
	Solot Stoffen	
Member	Member	
Dan Dikini	Swah Bolley	
Member	Member	
DISSENTING:		
<u>CERTIFICATIO</u>	<u>O N</u>	
As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the		

Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	May 26, 2020	
	Maus Illorias	
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board	_

## **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

Kandarp Mehta, by attorney: Ronald Kingsley Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC 13975 W. Polo Trail Drive #201 Lake Forest, IL 60045

## **COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085