



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Donald O'Malley
DOCKET NO.: 17-02196.001-R-2
PARCEL NO.: 12-19-118-005

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Donald O'Malley, the appellant, by attorney Brian S. Maher, of Weis, DuBrock, Doody & Maher, in Chicago, and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **no change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$198,262
IMPR.: \$302,884
TOTAL: \$501,146

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 1.5-story dwelling of brick exterior construction situated on a concrete slab foundation with 5,157 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1926. Features of the home include central air conditioning, two fireplaces, an 858 square foot garage and a 300 square foot gazebo. The property has a 113,256 square foot site and is located in Lake Forest, Shields Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The subject's land assessment was not contested. In support of the inequity argument, the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables located in various neighborhood codes assigned by the assessor which differ from that of the subject. The comparables consist of a 1.25-story, a 1.5-story and a 2-story brick or frame dwellings that range in size from 4,243 to 4,938 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed between 1920 and 1940. Each comparable has

central air conditioning, one or three fireplaces and a garage that ranges in size from 912 to 1,248 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$113,872 to \$216,707 or from \$26.84 to \$44.61 square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$197,048 or \$38.21 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$501,146. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$302,884 or \$58.73 per square foot of living area.

In response to the appellant's evidence, the board of review contended that each of the appellant's comparables were located in different neighborhoods than the subject property. As to appellant's comparable #3, the board of review noted the schematic drawing establishes that the garage subdivides the dwelling's living area into two distinct sections.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables located within .304 of a mile from the subject in Knollwood CC, as defined by the assessor like the subject property. These comparables were improved with 2-story brick or frame dwellings that range in size from 4,294 to 6,060 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built in 1929 or 1935. Each comparable has a full or partial unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one to five fireplaces, and a garage that ranged in size from 399 to 1,376 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$300,871 to \$452,672 or from \$64.83 to \$74.70 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of six equity comparables to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board has given reduced weight to appellant's comparable #1 and board of review comparable #3 due to their smaller or larger dwelling sizes, respectively, when compared to the subject dwelling of 5,157 square feet.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparables #2 and #3 along with board of review comparables #1 and #2. These four comparables had varying degrees of similarity to the subject and presented improvement assessments that ranged from \$213,235 to \$377,203 or from \$43.18 to \$70.07 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$302,884 or \$58.73 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to

the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: October 20, 2020



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Donald O'Malley, by attorney:
Brian S. Maher
Weis, DuBrock, Doody & Maher
1 North LaSalle Street
Suite 1500
Chicago, IL 60602-3992

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review
Lake County Courthouse
18 North County Street, 7th Floor
Waukegan, IL 60085