

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Bob Humphrey
DOCKET NO.: 17-02028.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 09-26-309-010

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Bob Humphrey, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley, of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Lake Forest; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *no change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$24,434 **IMPR.:** \$47,681 **TOTAL:** \$72,115

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a one-story vinyl-sided dwelling containing 1,278 square feet of living area that was constructed in 1989. Features of the home include a basement with a 1,178-square foot finished area that includes "in-law arrangement" with a second kitchen and an extra bathroom;¹ other features include central air conditioning, a fireplace and an attached garage with 538 square feet of building area; the home also has water rights and is described as a "former model home". The dwelling is situated on an 8,955-square foot site and is located in Wauconda, Wauconda Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted a grid analysis of five comparable sales located from .09 to .51 of a mile

¹ Some descriptive information about the subject was drawn from the property record card and the Multiple Listing Service (MLS) sheet provided by the board of review.

from the subject and in the same neighborhood code as assigned to the subject property by the local assessor. The parcels consist of lots ranging in size from 7,467 to 10,014 square feet of land area and each is improved with one-story vinyl-sided dwelling ranging in size from 1,209 to 1,747 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 1988 to 1994. The appellant reports that three of the homes have been rehabbed based on MLS sheets. Each dwelling features a basement with four having finished areas; each home also has central air conditioning, and an attached garage ranging in size from 420 to 538 square feet of building area. One home has a fireplace. The sales of the comparables occurred from January to November 2016 for prices ranging from \$177,000 to \$229,000 or from \$131.08 to \$157.57 per square foot of living area, including land.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's total assessment be reduced to \$70,709 to reflect a market value of \$212,148 or \$166.00 per square foot of living area, including land, at the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$72,115. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of approximately \$217,541 or \$170.22 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the 2017 three-year average median level of assessment for Lake County of 33.15% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on three comparable sales located within .313 of a mile of the subject with two being in the same neighborhood code as assigned to the subject property by the local assessor. The board of review comparable #1 was also submitted by the appellant as comparable #5. The parcels are improved with one-story brick or vinyl-sided dwellings that range in size from 1,200 to 1,598 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed from 1956 to 1990 on lots ranging in size from 7,800 to 8,050 square feet of land area. The comparables each feature a basement with finished area; each home also has central air conditioning and a garage containing from 440 to 538 square feet of building area; one home has a fireplace. The sales of the comparables occurred from January 2016 to April 2018 for prices ranging from \$190,500 to \$230,000 or from \$143.93 to \$175.00 per square foot of living area, including land. The board of review submission also includes copies of Multiple Listing Service (MLS) sheets and property record cards for the subject and each of its comparables.

Based on this evidence, the board of review requested that the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal, the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of seven comparable sales, which includes the parties' common comparable, to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board gave less weight to board of review comparable #3 due to it being 33 years older than the subject, in addition to its sale date in April 2018 being too remote in time from the subject's January 1, 2017 assessment date, and thus less likely to be indicative of the subject's approximate market value as of that date. The Board gave less weight to appellant's comparable #1 based on its much larger dwelling size, and comparable #2 based on it lacking a finished basement area, unlike the subject.

The parties' remaining four comparables, which includes the common comparable, are relatively similar to the subject in terms of physical proximity to the subject, lot size, dwelling size, design, foundation, and most features. These best comparables in this record sold from January to September 2017 for prices ranging from \$190,000 to \$230,000 or from \$151.02 to \$157.57 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$217,541 or \$170.22 per square foot of living area, land included, which is within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record on an overall value basis and appears to be supported given the subject's superior finished basement area which contains an additional kitchen and separate living area, unlike the comparables. Additionally, the subject has a newer age of construction when compared to the best sales in this record which further supports its total assessment.

After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences in some features, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate by a preponderance of the evidence that the subject was overvalued. Therefore, the Board finds that based on this evidence, the subject's estimated market value as reflected by its assessment is supported and no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
	Chairman
a R	Assort Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full	Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do and complete Final Administrative Decision of the late in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this

Date: June 16, 2020

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

Mano Illorias

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Bob Humphrey, by attorney: Ronald Kingsley Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC 13975 W. Polo Trail Drive #201 Lake Forest, IL 60045

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085