

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Harry Nicol

DOCKET NO.: 17-01873.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 12-21-117-019

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Harry Nicol, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley, of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Lake Forest; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$81,347 **IMPR.:** \$158,742 **TOTAL:** \$240,089

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 2,219 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1952. Features of the home include a full basement with finished area, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 264 square foot one-car attached garage. The property has a 6,250 square foot site and is located in Lake Bluff, Shields Township, Lake County.

The appellant submitted evidence before the Property Tax Appeal Board claiming overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four comparable sales located within .47 of a mile of the subject property. The comparables consist of one, 1.75-story dwelling and three, 2-story dwellings of wood siding or brick exterior construction that were built from 1952 to 1984 and have sites ranging in size from 6,250 to 10,575 square feet of land area. The dwellings range in size from 2,240 to 2,886 square feet of

living area. Each comparable has a basement, with three having finished area, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a garage ranging in size from 441 to 528 square foot of building area. The comparables sold from January 2015 to September 2016 for prices ranging from \$602,500 to \$983,700 or from \$268.97 to \$340.85 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$240,089. The subject's assessment reflects an estimated market value of \$724,250 or \$326.39 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the 2017 three-year average median level of assessment for Lake County of 33.15%.

In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales located within .501 of a mile of the subject.¹ The comparables consist of two, 1.75-story and two, 2-story dwellings of wood siding or brick exterior construction that were built from 1959 to 1984 and have sites ranging in size from 6,200 to 9,372 square feet of land area. The dwellings range in size from 2,053 to 2,886 square feet of living area. The comparables each have a basement, with three having finished area, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a garage ranging in size from 441 to 576 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from May 2016 to June 2017 for prices ranging from \$680,000 to \$983,700 or from \$321.61 to \$360.75 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted six suggested comparable sales for the Board's consideration, with two comparables common to both parties. The Board gave less weight to the parties' common comparable (appellant's comparable #3 and board of review comparable #2) due to its larger dwelling size when compared to the subject. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparable #1 due to its larger lot size and dissimilar age when compared to the subject. The Board also gave less weight to the appellant's comparable #4 as it sold in January 2015 which is dated and less likely to be reflective of market value as of the January 1, 2017 assessment date.

The Board finds the best evidence of the subject's market value to be the board of review comparables #1, #3 and #4 which includes the parties' remaining common comparable. These comparables are more similar to the subject in dwelling size, design, age and features, although all have larger garages. They sold from May 2016 to June 2017 for prices ranging of \$680,000 and \$750,000 or from \$321.61 to \$360.75 per square foot of living area, including land. The

¹ Board of review comparables #2 and #4 are the same properties as the appellant's comparables #3 and #2, respectively.

subject's assessment reflects an estimated market value of \$724,250 or \$326.39 per square foot of living area, including land, which falls within the range established by the best comparables in the record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences including the garage feature when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's estimated market value as reflected by its assessment is supported. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant failed to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the subject was overvalued. Therefore, a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fer	
$\overline{}$	hairman
C. R.	assert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bobbler
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do	

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: April 21, 2020

Maus Morios

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Harry Nicol, by attorney: Ronald Kingsley Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC 13975 W. Polo Trail Drive #201 Lake Forest, IL 60045

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085