

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Marshall Goldsen DOCKET NO.: 17-01519.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 16-31-112-002

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Marshall Goldsen, the appellant, by attorney Chris D. Sarris, of Steven B. Pearlman & Associates in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$82,747 **IMPR.:** \$146,554 **TOTAL:** \$229,301

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 2,819 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1995. Features of the home include a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a 500 square foot attached garage. The property is located in Riverwoods, West Deerfield Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on six equity comparables located within the same neighborhood as the subject. The comparables are described as two-story dwellings of wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,991 to 3,296 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1994 to 1997. The comparables each have an unfinished basement, central air conditioning and a garage ranging in

size from 414 to 645 square feet of building area. Two comparables each have a fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$138,153 to \$147,609 or from \$41.91 to \$46.85 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$229,301. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$146,554 or \$51.99 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on eight equity comparables located within the same neighborhood as the subject. The comparables consist of two-story dwellings of wood siding exterior construction containing either 2,721 or 2,819 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed from 1994 to 1996. The comparables each have a basement, with three having finished area; central air conditioning and a garage with 500 or 528 square feet of building area. Seven comparables have one fireplace each. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$145,553 to \$160,352 or from \$53.25 to \$56.88 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted 14 equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparables along with board of review comparables #1, #2, #6, #7 and #8 which have unfinished basements unlike the subject's finished basement. The Board finds the board of review comparables #3, #4 and #5 are most similar to the subject in location, dwelling size, design, age and features. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$152,043 to \$160,352 or from \$53.94 to \$56.88 per square foot of living area. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$146,554 or \$51.99 per square foot of living area, which falls below the range established by the best comparables in the record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is well supported.

Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and no reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fem
	Chairman
a R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Sarah Boldey
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

#### <u>CERTIFICATION</u>

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	May 26, 2020	
	Mauro Illorias	
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board	

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

# **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

# **APPELLANT**

Marshall Goldsen, by attorney: Chris D. Sarris Steven B. Pearlman & Associates 350 West Hubbard Street Suite 630 Chicago, IL 60654

# **COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085