

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: CSMA BLT, LLC DOCKET NO.: 17-01194.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 04-21-101-014

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are CSMA BLT, LLC, the appellant, by attorney Abby L. Strauss, of Schiller Strauss & Lavin PC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$3,950 IMPR.: \$24,554 TOTAL: \$28,504

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 1-story dwelling with wood siding exterior construction. The dwelling was constructed in 1962 and contains 1,064 square feet of living area. The subject's site contains 7,400 square feet of land area located in Zion, Zion Township, Lake County.

The appellant submitted evidence before the Property Tax Appeal Board claiming improvement assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. However, the appellant also reported sales information on four of the comparables. Therefore, the Board will analyze the appeal for overvaluation as well as equity. In support of these arguments, the appellant submitted a grid analysis of seven assessment comparables located within 0.91 of a mile from the subject. The comparables are described as 1-story wood, aluminum or vinyl sided or brick dwellings built from 1958 to 1978 and ranging in size from 988 to 1,247 square feet of living area. The comparables have basements, one with finished area. Five comparables have garages, one has

central air conditioning and one has a fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$8,323 to \$14,360 or from \$7.23 to \$11.52 per square foot of living area. Four comparables sold in unqualified sales from January 2015 through June 2016 for prices ranging from \$31,000 to \$44,000 or from \$24.86 to \$36.39 per square foot of living area including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's total assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$28,504. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$85,985 or \$80.81 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2017 three-year average median level of assessment for Lake County of 33.15% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$24,554 or \$23.08 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted a grid analysis of eight comparables located within 0.621 of a mile from the subject. They are described as 1-story dwellings with wood or aluminum siding. The comparables were built from 1956 to 1977 and range in size from 992 to 1,203 square feet of living area. The comparables feature unfinished basements and garages. Four comparables have central air conditioning and three have 1 or 2 fireplaces each. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$21,762 to \$28,561 or from \$21.34 to \$25.50 per square foot of living area. The board of review also disclosed comparables #3, #7 and #8 sold from April 2015 through July 2016 for prices ranging from \$90,000 to \$95,000 or from \$84.82 to \$89.22 per square foot of living area including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant argued assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant failed to meet this burden of proof.

The parties submitted 15 equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #7 based on its partially finished basement as compared to the subject's unfinished basement. Despite some differences when compared to the subject regarding features, the Board gives more weight to appellant's comparables #1 through #6 and to the board of review comparables which are similar to the subject in location, style, age and dwelling size. They have improvement assessments ranging from \$7.23 to \$25.50 per square foot of living area. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$23.08 per square foot of living area, which is within the range established by the most similar comparables in this record on a per square foot basis. After considering adjustments to the comparables for

differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is supported and no reduction in the subject's assessment based on inequity is warranted.

The Board also analyzed the appeal to determine whether or not the market value of the subject property is accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof.

The parties reported sales of seven of the equity comparables. The Board gives less weight to appellant's comparables #1, #4 and #7 and board of review comparable #3 based on sale dates occurring in 2015 which are not as proximate in time to the assessment date at issue than other sales in the record. The Board gives more weight to appellant's comparable #2<sup>1</sup> and board of review comparables #7 and #8 which are similar to the subject in location, style, age and dwelling size and sold in 2016, proximate in time to the subject's assessment date. The comparables sold for prices ranging from \$33,000 to \$91,000 or from \$33.40 to \$89.22 per square foot of living area including land. The subject's assessment reflects an estimated market value of \$85,985 or \$80.81 per square foot of living area including land, which falls within the range established by the best comparable sales in the record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's estimated market value as reflected by its assessment is supported. Therefore, no reduction in the subject's assessment based on overvaluation is warranted.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Board accepted the sale of comparable #2 as valid since foreclosures are sometimes used in Property Tax Appeal Board analyses.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fe	
Chair	man
	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do	

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: April 21, 2020

Maus Morios

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

# **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

# **APPELLANT**

CSMA BLT, LLC, by attorney: Abby L. Strauss Schiller Strauss & Lavin PC 33 North Dearborn Suite 1130 Chicago, IL 60602

# **COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085