

## FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	CSMA FT, LLC
DOCKET NO.:	17-01126.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.:	04-17-432-034

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are CSMA FT, LLC, the appellant, by attorney Abby L. Strauss, of Schiller Strauss & Lavin PC, in Chicago, and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>*no change*</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the Lake County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$4,510
IMPR.:	\$35,242
TOTAL:	\$39,752

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a split-level dwelling of vinyl siding exterior construction with 1,028 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2002. Features of the home include a finished lower level, central air conditioning and an attached garage of 528 square feet of building area. The property has an 8,893 square foot site and is located in Zion, Zion Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal challenging the improvement assessment; no dispute was raised concerning the land assessment. In support of this inequity argument, the appellant submitted information on nine comparables located within .87 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables consist of split-level dwellings of aluminum, vinyl or wood siding exterior construction that were built between 1962 and 2007. The comparables range in size from 988 to 1,233 square feet of living area. Each comparable

has a lower level, eight of which have finished areas, eight of the comparables have central air conditioning and four of the comparables have a garage ranging in size from 506 to 576 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$18,440 to \$34,855 or from \$18.66 to \$26.07 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment to \$24.26 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$39,752. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$35,242 or \$34.28 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located within .593 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables consist of split-level dwellings of aluminum or vinyl siding exterior construction that were built between 1998 and 2005. The comparables range in size from 931 to 1,028 square feet of living area. Each comparable has a lower level with finished area. Each comparable has central air conditioning and a garage ranging in size from 440 to 528 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$32,182 to \$35,242 or from \$32.77 to \$34.57 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties presented a total of thirteen equity comparables to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board has given reduced weight to the appellant's comparables #1, #2, #3, #4, #6 and #7 as these dwellings are older, lack a garage amenity and/or have an unfinished lower level which is inferior to the subject property which was built in 2002 and has both a garage and a finished lower level.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparables #5, #8 and #9 along with the board of review comparables as each of these properties have garages and finished lower levels like the subject property. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$30,952 to \$35,242 or from \$25.10 to \$34.57 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$35,242 or \$34.28 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. The requirement is satisfied if the intent is evident to adjust the taxation burden with a reasonable degree of uniformity and if such is the effect of the statute enacted by the General Assembly establishing the method of assessing real property in its general operation. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. <u>Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett</u>, 20 Ill. 2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence. For the foregoing reasons, the Board finds that the appellant has not proven by clear and convincing evidence that the subject's assessment as established by the board of review is correct and no reduction is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fer

	Chairman
CAR	Robert Stoffer
Member	Member
Dan Dikinin	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

### CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

April 21, 2020

Mano Alorios

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

### AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

### APPELLANT

CSMA FT, LLC, by attorney: Abby L. Strauss Schiller Strauss & Lavin PC 33 North Dearborn Suite 1130 Chicago, IL 60602

### COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085