

## FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Sheila Illescas
DOCKET NO.:	17-00829.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.:	03-25-409-107

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Sheila Illescas, the appellant, and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the Lake County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$15,700
IMPR.:	\$70,914
TOTAL:	\$86,614

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 3,070 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2009. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 660 square foot garage. The property has a 10,006 square foot site and is located in Beach Park, Newport Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal challenging the improvement assessment; no dispute was raised concerning the land assessment. In support of this inequity argument, the appellant submitted information on four comparables located within .5 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables consist of two-story dwellings of wood siding exterior construction that were built in either 2007 or 2009. The comparables each contain 3,070 square feet of living area. Each comparable was reported as having a full unfinished basement. Each dwelling also has central air conditioning and a garage of either 450

or 660 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$60,569 to \$66,496 or from \$19.73 or \$21.66 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$86,614. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$70,914 or \$23.10 per square foot of living area.

In response to the appellant's evidence, the board of review reiterated the four comparable properties the appellant presented and set forth the assessment per square foot data that was missing in the appellant's Section V grid analysis. Additionally, the board of review noted that appellant's comparables #3 and #4 have concrete slab foundations rather than full basements as was reported by the appellant.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables where board of review comparable #1 is the same property as appellant's comparable #1. The comparables consist of two-story dwellings of wood siding exterior construction that were built in either 2009 or 2011. The comparables range in size from 2,831 to 3,086 square feet of living area. Each comparable has a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning and a garage ranging in size from 450 to 667 square feet of building. Comparable #2 also has a fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$63,406 to \$76,032 or from \$21.66 or \$25.31 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In written rebuttal, the appellant contends that since assessments are based upon above-grade living area, she is under the impression that properties without basements would be assessed in a similar manner to properties with basements. She further contends that the board of review submitted evidence to the Property Tax Appeal Board which had not been presented at the local board of review level and should not be considered on this record.

### **Conclusion of Law**

When an appeal is based on assessment inequity, the appellant has the burden to show the subject property is inequitably assessed by clear and convincing evidence. Proof of an assessment inequity should consist of more than a simple showing of assessed values of the subject and comparables together with their physical, locational, and jurisdictional similarities. There should also be market value considerations, if such credible evidence exists. The Supreme Court in <u>Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett</u>, 20 Ill.2d 395, 169 N.E.2d 769, discussed the constitutional requirement of uniformity. The Court stated that "[u]niformity in taxation, as required by the constitution, implies equality in the burden of taxation." (<u>Apex Motor Fuel</u>, 20 Ill.2d at 401) The Court in Apex Motor Fuel further stated:

the rule of uniformity ... prohibits the taxation of one kind of property within the taxing district at one value while the same kind of property in the same district for taxation purposes is valued at either a grossly less value or a grossly higher value. [citation.]

Within this constitutional limitation, however, the General Assembly has the power to determine the method by which property may be valued for tax purposes. The constitutional provision for uniformity does [not] call ... for mathematical equality. The requirement is satisfied if the intent is evident to adjust the burden with a reasonable degree of uniformity and if such is the effect of the statute in its general operation. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. [citation.] Apex Motor Fuel, 20 Ill.2d at 401.

In this context, the Supreme Court stated in <u>Kankakee County</u> that the cornerstone of uniform assessments is the fair cash value of the property in question. According to the Court, uniformity is achieved only when all property with similar fair cash value is assessed at a consistent level. <u>Kankakee County Board of Review</u>, 131 Ill.2d 1, at 21 (1989).

Additionally, the law is clear that proceedings before the Property Tax Appeal Board are de novo "meaning the Board will only consider the evidence, exhibits and briefs submitted to it, and will not give any weight or consideration to any prior actions by a local board of review . . . ." (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(a)). In this regard, the jurisdiction of the Property Tax Appeal Board is limited to determining the correct assessment of the property appealed to it. (35 ILCS 200/16-180). There is no requirement that either party to a proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board utilize the same evidence as was used at the local board of review level. Therefore, the Property Tax Appeal Board will consider the evidence presented by both parties to this proceeding in determining the correct assessment of the subject property.

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties presented a total of seven equity comparables to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board has given reduced weight to the appellant's comparables #3 and #4 due to differences in foundation as these dwellings each have concrete slab foundations as compared to the subject's full unfinished basement.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparables #1 and #2 along with the board of review comparables that include one common comparable presented by both parties. These comparables are similar in location, age, size, design and most features. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$62,344 to \$76,032 or from \$20.31 to \$25.31 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$70,914 or \$23.10 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record and appears to be logical when giving due consideration to the subject's 660 square foot garage and fireplace amenity that are not present in each of the best comparables. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear

and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. The requirement is satisfied if the intent is evident to adjust the taxation burden with a reasonable degree of uniformity and if such is the effect of the statute enacted by the General Assembly establishing the method of assessing real property in its general operation. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. <u>Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett</u>, 20 Ill. 2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence. For the foregoing reasons, the Board finds that the appellant has not proven by clear and convincing evidence that the subject property is inequitably assessed. Therefore, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that the subject's assessment as established by the board of review is correct and no reduction is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fer

	Chairman
CAR	assert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dukinia	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

### CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

April 21, 2020

Mano Alorios

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

## AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

### APPELLANT

Sheila Illescas 39330 Castleford Lane Beach Park, IL 60083

# COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085